

THE INVESTIGATION OF EDUCATIONAL FALLING
REASONS IN MATHEMATICS
STUDENTS OF GUILAN PAYAME NOOR UNIVERSITY

Farid Pour Ofoghi*

Abstract:

The student's education falling, is one of the major instructional problems. Among the main tasks and duties of instructional system, persuading the students to learn the cognitive and business skills is one of the most important tasks. So, to promote the instructional quality of universities, the educational falling factors must be determined and eliminated. This study that is a comparative one, has been carry out to investigate the main factors of educational falling in mathematics students of Guilan Payame Noor university. For this purpose a population 622 students (302 unsuccessful¹ and 320 successful) ones, were selected. The tool's that were used of to collect data were: "Rajers Test" for measuring the students' self concept variable, "Rion Test" for measuring IQ level of students variable, "Mc inerny academic motivation Test" for measuring the students academic motivation" and the questionnaire that was prepared by the investigator for measuring the other variables. The confidence degree of these tests were: 0.80, 0.85 and 0.87, and the confidence degree of the questionnaire was 0.87. This questionnaire was included the questions to assess the students' expectance of their future job and the social and personal instructional characteristics. By considering the kind of exist variables, the data analysis was conducted by using the, T statistical methods, the mann- whitney U Test, factor analysis and auditor analyzing. T-test results showed that diploma average, IQ level of students, academic motivation, self-concept, level of study for students and family income are significant differences between successful and unsuccessful students. man Whitney test results showed that the level of

* Department of Mathematics, Payame Noor University, 19395-4697, I.R. of IRAN

¹ unsuccessful students; that is; those who have not obtained the require marks during the terms

education, environment, culture, birth order, according to the professor, students are significant differences between successful and unsuccessful students. Factors affecting students educational falling were fitted using factor analysis. Final model fit revealed that the factor of 11, 5 factors, ie low diploma average level, IQ level of student, level of study for students, parents' education level and low-income parents to explain the causes of educational falling.

And finally to predict membership in two groups of successful and unsuccessful students. And drawing a linear model to determine the predictive variables in explaining the dependent variable (academic failure),

The regression equation, discriminate analysis was used. The results showed that among 11 existing factors, 6 factors; diploma average, IQ level of student, academic motivation, hours of study, parents' education and family income, can explain the students' educational falling reasons. And other variables and coefficients for high Wilks lambda function were excluded from the equation. In this pattern the "diploma average" factor has highest weight in determining the educational falling reasons.

Keywords: educational falling , IQ level , diploma average , academic motivation , hours of study , parents' education , parents' income

Introduction:

The instruction is the head stock of development. And the main foundation of instruction is teaching and training. The founder of classic economy, "Adam Esmith" , in 1776, in his book "the Nation's Capital" wrote that skills accomplish via instruction, include costs that can be considered as investment of individual and society. These costs have economic output for society and individuals (Aminifar , 1365)[1].

Deployment of instruction is a main factor in development. This result was considered in the world since two centurie ago. To reach the development goals, we must pay attention to learning and teaching skills and human development. If adequate investment was allocated to instruction but its result, that is proper changes and educational development of students, was not desirable, so the high instruction system has not reach its main goals, that is training of desirable human force. To a obtain these desired goal, the factors that are interfered in educational falling, must be determined. Nowadays, investigators study, reflects a variety of factors that can have influence in educational falling. Some of these factors are related to inner instructional rfactors such as

unconformity of goals and talents, unconformity of methods and instructional tools and unappropriateness of assessment methods, and some of them are related to outer instructional factors such as social factors, family factors and individual factors. (Bazargan, 1378) [2].

Baba Taheri(1352) in his research titled " The Causes of school leaving" considered the effect of economical , cultural & social variables and proclaimed that economical conditions, parents' poverty, in appropriate nutrition unemployment of parents and cultural elements are direct or in direct factors of school leaving [3].

Poladi (1375) in his research " The Impact of Effective External Elements in education falling and listed them as follows:

1. Poverty and economical privation
2. Cultural and social factors & consequences
3. Family conditions
4. Geographical and local privation
5. appropriate distribution of educational facilities[4].

Atkinson's research showed that self- respect with regard to academic motivation causes strengthening of motivations in students with little motivation or lack of motivation and decreasing the feature causes of the education falling [5].

Brcher's findings [1997] showed that the ability and interest of teacher in teaching encouragement & considering individual differences among students causes decreasing education falling [6].

By recognizing school leaving like other issues in educational progressing (like exam scores) is considered as difficult issues since they are impacted, family fundamental factors as student, family live with in (Ramberg 1998 , Poryan 1383) and they are considered as the factors of school leaving & falling. In fact, the factors that causes school leaving are the main reasons of education falling[7].

Khozeh Belay (1984) narrated from Micheal Todar concluded that the poor are the first groups who school leaving because they have to work. They fall a sleep in class due to malnutrition. They are the first group who failed since childern with high in come have better conditions and facilities for learning at home. Also khozeh narrated from " Ed Shena" that the most people who completed their education belonging to rich families since the poor children are only people that school leaving [8].

By virtue of information that exist in Guilan payame Noor University, and because of bereavement of the area on account of library and constraction and on account of having specific educational, social, cultural and economical situation, the educationd falling is high in comparision with other courses.

So, early determination of educational falling factors, need careful and scientific investigations in this area, in which the desighners and policy makers of instructional system, can consider and review these factors and can deliver proper methods to promote the quality of instructional system.

So, the present investigation has been carry out to find the educational falling reasons of mathematic`s students of Guilan Payame Noor University, so that steps taken to promote the instruction quality in this university.

Investigation method:

This study is a comparative one. The necessary information is collected by the following Test: “Rajerz’s Test” to measure the self -imagination variable of the students. “Rion’s Test” to measure the intelligence percent of students. “Mack Inerniy’s Test” to measure the educational motivation of students and a questionnaire to measure another variables.

The confedence degree of these Test we 0.80, 0.85, 0. 87 and 0.87 for the questionnaire. This questionnaire was included a unumber of questions to assess the hope of students of the future of their job. and to assess their social, family and personal construction characteristics.

In this study, we used the following methods to analise the collected inputs:

1. Descriptive statistical method such as plenty – average - median and... to describe the input.
2. Deductive statistical method such as t Test and Mann- whitney U Test to compare the score average of both successful and unsuccessful students.
3. Factor analysis to determine the influence variables in subject explaining and eginvalue each of variable.
4. Step by step auditor analysis to design the comparative linear model. and member ship in both successful and unsuccessful group by using the provident variables.

Findings:

Recent statistical investigation Sample includes 622 mathematics students of Guilan Payame Noor University. 342 number of them are girls and 280 are boys.

Table 1 : sex plenty of student

Sexy	Plenty	Percent
Boy	280	45.1
Girl	342	54.9
total	622	100

The T- test results show that, by considering the variables such as intelligence percent, educational motivation, Diploma average, hours of study and the parents' income, there are meaningful differences between successful and unsuccessful students.

It means that the amount of above variables among successful students is high in comprison with unsuccessful students. but there weren't any meaningful differences in variables such as self – concept and family factors in both successful and unsuccessful students.

The mann- whitney U Test's results show that, by considering the variables such as parent educational level and birth sequences, there are meaningful differences between successful and unsuccessful students. It means that the amount of above- mentioned variables in successful students is high in comparition with unsuccessful students. But there weren't any considerable differences in “ attention to professor to student” variable between two groups. To determine this subject that the studing case (educational falling) is consisted a number of General agent, three indicators such as Eginvalue, explained variance ratio and positive correlation of variances were considered. The results of exploratory factor analysis on these 11 variables, show that, these variables are explainable via 5 agents. These extractionary 5 factors were classified exploratory. These factors and their titles were given in table 2.

Table 2 : The results of correlative factors by varimax method.

Factors	The title of factor	Factor load	Eginvalue	Explained variance ratio
Factor 1	Diploma average	0.81	1.48	13.48

Factor 2	Low studing hours	0.91	1.31	11.80
Factor 3	Low academic motivation	0.55	1.19	10.88
Factor4	Low parents' education level	0.61	1.11	10.06
Factor5	Low - income parents	0.40	1.02	9.28

To determine the portion of provident variables in educational falling and to draw the linear subject to membership in successful and unsuccessful groups with independent variables that we had, we used step by step auditor analysis equation of "Regersionee". The results showed that average and standard deviation in diploma average variables, the study hours, intelligence percent, educational motivation, income level of family, educational level of parents, birth sequence, cultural invirement or between successful and ansuccessful groups are different. The average and standard deviation of successful students in above mentioned factors is high than the average and standard deviation of unsuccessful students. Also the average and standard deviation of unsuccessful students in variables such as family dimention, and birth sequence is high than average and standard deviation of successful students. later, in input analysis, we used single ratio and Vilks Lambada, in provident variables. To draw linear subject, 11 variances were interd on auditor analysis equation. In this stage, we used step by step method and also lowest amount of "F" to adding and lowest amount to be submi Hed with results in 6 step, 6 variable were inteed in subject and 5 variables were put out- side of the equation.

I abeue mentioned analysis, by foresighting membership in successful and unsuccessful students group, on variables that were intered in equation, we can get an equation that has high discernment between mentioned groups. To eliminate the results of this table, the auditor subject coefficients that come in table 3 were used.

By considering the results of the above tables, the equation of auditor subject to membership in successful students groups and unsuccessful students groups and unsuccessful students group is as follows:

$$D = (\text{Diploma average})0.75 + (\text{IQ level})0.48 + (\text{academic motivation}) 0.33 + (\text{ study hours})0.29 + (\text{parents' education}) 0.14 + (\text{parent' income})0.13$$

Table 3 : the results of variables that are inside or outside of the equation.

Step	Variables inside the equation	Variables outside the equation	Wilks	Meaningful level
1	The diploma average of students	0.26	0.000
2	IQ level of students	0.46	0.000
3	Academic motivation	0.65	0.000
4	level of study for students	0.71	0.000
5	level of parents' income	0.92	0.000
6	level of parents' Education	0.91	0.000
7	Self- concept
8	Family dimention
9	Birth order
10	Hope to future job
11	according of professor to studen

Meaningful level= 0.000

$\chi^2 = 916.21$

Freedom degree = 9

Table 4 : coefficient of variables that intered in Auditor subject.

Variables	Coefficient of variables in anditor subject	Surfeited of input Stomach
Diploma average	0.75	Successful student Groups 2.42
IQ level	0.48	
Academic motivation	0.33	
Level of study for students	0.29	
Parents' education	0.14	Unsuccessful student Groups - 2.59
Parents' income	0.13	

In this study, Diploma average of students has the highest weight in forecasting the membership in successful and unsuccessful students group and the role of the other variables were low in comparison with Diploma average of students.

Discussions and Results:

This research showed that if a student gets higher scores(Mats) in diploma with high probability he/she will succeed in university.

Ali Jani, Mar Kazi Moghadam, Bromand & Zand confirmed this finding in 1383 and concluded that there was a meaningful relationship between the diploma average & educational fallin in next educational sections[9].

Quotient (IQ) in successful students is more than in unsuccessful ones.

Ali Ghaemei (1378) concluded that lower intelligence quotient has positive relationship with educational failing[10].

Johnson in 1997 in his study considered the family envirement role as a basic role in successful or unsuccessful educational situation of students. In his results, he introduced this variable as a forecasing factor of unsuccessful education situation. The result of this study showed that the cultural inuirement of successful student's family is more suitable than unsuccessful students[11].

The results of recent research show that there is a meaningful difference between the sequence of birth of successful & unsuccessful students.

The results of this research show that there are differences in degree of motivation among successful & unsuccessful students and motivation in successful students is higer than in unsuccessful ones. Restox(1998) showed that academic motivation in successful group is more than in unsuccessful ones[12].

In this research it must be noted that the degree of study hours in successful students is more than in unsuccessful ones . UNESCO studies (1378) showed that there was a direct and positive relation between the degree of interest of an issue & the degree of success.

In this research by using statistical tests we concluded that the level of parents' education in successful students is higer than in unsuccessful ones. Bardly in his research showed that the illiteracy of parents & low level of economy in families have effective impact in educational failing[13].

This research showed that family's income is higher in successful students & low income of educational drop-out. Robert (1998) noted that education falling is one of the biggest problems of educational system in most of the countries the causes of school leaving are because of economical & cultural poverty of families[14].

In this research we found that the professor's attention is same to both of the successful and unsuccessful ones and analysis of examiner is also considered as a foresight variable in membership of both groups via valsh equation that in his equation the lack of close friendship between professor and students, lack of interest and also discrimination in attention are preventive factors in educational progressing . By considering under studied society in this research we found that there was meaningful differences between two groups of successful & unsuccessful students[15].

The meaning of self_ thought is a complex individual idea that comes from direct experiences and reflection of other evaluations. Bolum(1982) reported the correlation of two Variables- self_ thought and education progress among students in seventeen countries in the world that was about 0.34 which is a low percentage. The recent research showed that there was not meaningful differences in the range of self_ toughness between successful & unsuccessful students[16].

References:

[1] Amini far, Morteza(1365)," education falling and how it is decreased, education quarterly, number 188.

[2] Bazorgan, Zahra (1378)" Looking at the sequence of education falling &the methods of its preventatio in some developed & industrial countries" education quarterly fifteenth year number 30.

[3]Baba Taheri, Hassan (1352) "the investigation of educational drop-out . The thesis of M.A . Tehran university, educational science university.

[4]Poladi Mohammad (1375),"The effect of external emleents on education falling " Thesis of M.A , Shahid chamran university, Ahvaz, educational & psychology science college.

[5] Atkinson, J.W. and N.T. Feather, 1996. A Theory of achievement motivation. New York john Wile, 5:77-79.

[6] Brcher, W.G. and D.R Thomas, 1997. Heaching: Acourse in applid psychology Chicago; Sci. Res. Assoc., pp: 251

- [7] Ramberg, Rassel (1998), " Who school leaving? And Why?" Traslator: Poryan , Mahmood (1383) Peyvand Magazine, Number 3 , 4 , Bahman83 Parents & teachers associations.
- [8] Belay, khozeh, " educational failing in elementary schools & the ways to confront it." Termeh Amiri, Mohammad Ali, programming & budget orgamisation publication, 1371.
- [9] The investigation of effective factors on education falling in nursing students of Army medical science university, Authors: Shirin Alikhani , Nadar Markazi Moghadam Ph.D, Soheila Bromand and Mitra zand Palgeh.
- [10] Ghaemi, Ali (1378), " Family and children's school problems" Aryam publication, third edition.
- [11] Johnson, Margine. (1998). Principles of inslruetion for AI-risk student preventing school failour , www. Rose.net , At-risk student.com..
- [12] Restox . (1998). Classroom Dynamic development of serious emotional disturbances exceptional.
- [13] Bardly, (1993). Home invironment in mental test performance, Development psychology. volum 2 p.p93.
- [14] Robert. Cxwalsh . p . (1998). High risk children in school, new York . p.p77.
- [15] Walsh, Piñata , (1998). High risk children in schools, p.54.
- [16] Bolum, Benjamin s(1363) " human charcatristics & school learning" , Translated by Ali Akbar seyf Ph.D, Nashr Daneshgahi publication.