

"A CRITICAL REVIEW ON HEAVY METAL POLLUTED SOILS WITH THEIR IMPACT ON PLANTS AS WELL AS ENVIRONMENT"

Narendra Kumar Bhatt*

H.N. Gour**

Abstract:

Soils dirtied with heavy metals have turned out to be regular over the globe because of increment in geologic and anthropogenic exercises. Plants developing on these soils demonstrate a diminishment in development, execution, and yield. Bioremediation is a successful strategy for treating heavy metal contaminated soils. It is a generally acknowledged strategy that is for the most part done in situ; henceforth it is reasonable for the foundation/restoration of harvests on treated soils. Microorganisms and plants utilize distinctive instruments for the bioremediation of dirtied soils. Utilizing plants for the treatment of contaminated soils is a more typical approach in the bioremediation of heavy metal dirtied soils. Joining the two microorganisms and plants is a way to deal with bioremediation that guarantees a more proficient tidy up of heavy metal dirtied soils. Nonetheless, achievement of this approach to a great extent relies upon the types of life forms engaged with the procedure.

Keywords: plants, soil, metal, Phytoremediation etc.

* **Research Scholar, Botany Deptt, Mewar University, Rajasthan**

** **Ex-Professor, Central Agricultural University, Shilong, Meghalaya**

1. Introduction:

Albeit heavy metals are normally present in the dirt, geologic and anthropogenic exercises increment the convergence of these components to sums that are hurtful to the two plants and creatures. Some of these exercises incorporate mining and purifying of metals, consuming of petroleum derivatives, utilization of composts and pesticides in agribusiness, generation of batteries and other metal items in ventures, sewage slop, and city squander transfer .Development diminishment because of changes in physiological and biochemical procedures in plants developing on heavy metal contaminated soils has been recorded .Proceeded with decrease in plant development diminishes yield which in the long run prompts nourishment uncertainty. Along these lines, the remediation of heavy metal dirtied soils can't be overemphasized. Different strategies for remediating metal contaminated soils exist; they go from physical and concoction techniques to organic strategies. Most physical and synthetic techniques, (for example, epitome, hardening, adjustment, electrokinetics, vitrification, vapor extraction, and soil washing and flushing) are costly and don't make the dirt appropriate for plant development. Organic approach (bioremediation) then again empowers the foundation/restoration of plants on contaminated soils. It is an earth neighborly approach since it is accomplished by means of common procedures. Bioremediation is likewise a practical remediation strategy contrasted and other remediation systems. This paper talks about the nature and properties of soils dirtied with heavy metals. Plant development and execution on these soils were inspected. Organic methodologies utilized for the remediation of heavy metal contaminated soils were similarly featured

2. Heavy Metal Polluted soil:

Heavy metals are components that display metallic properties, for example, flexibility, pliability, conductivity, cation dependability, and ligand specificity. They are described by moderately high thickness and high relative nuclear weight with a nuclear number more prominent than 20 . Some heavy metals, for example, Co, Cu, Fe, Mn, Mo, Ni, V, and Zn are required in minute amounts by life forms. In any case, unnecessary measures of these components can end up hurtful to creatures. Other heavy metals, for example, Pb, Cd, Hg, and As (a metalloid yet by and large alluded to as a heavy metal) don't have any helpful impact on creatures and are in this manner viewed as the "fundamental dangers" since they are extremely destructive to the two plants and

creatures. Metals exist either as particular substances or in mix with other soil segments. These segments may incorporate replaceable particles sorbed on the surfaces of inorganic solids, nonexchangeable particles and insoluble inorganic metal mixes, for example, carbonates and phosphates, dissolvable metal compound or free metal particles in the dirt arrangement, metal complex of natural materials, and metals joined to silicate minerals . Metals bound to silicate minerals speak to the foundation soil metal fixation and they don't cause defilement/contamination issues contrasted and metals that exist as independent substances or those present in high focus in the other 4 parts . Soil properties influence metal accessibility in various ways. Harter revealed that dirt pH is the central point influencing metal accessibility in soil. Accessibility of Cd and Zn to the underlying foundations of *Thlaspi caerulescens* diminished with increments in soil pH. Natural issue and hydrous ferric oxide have been appeared to diminish heavy metal accessibility through immobilization of these metals. Huge positive connections have likewise been recorded between heavy metals and some dirt physical properties, for example, dampness substance and water holding limit . Different elements that influence the metal accessibility in soil incorporate the thickness and sort of charge in soil colloids, the level of complexation with ligands, and the dirt's relative surface territory . The extensive interface and particular surface zones gave by soil colloids help in controlling the centralization of heavy metals in normal soils. Furthermore, solvent groupings of metals in dirtied soils might be diminished by soil particles with high particular surface region, however this might be metal particular . For example, McBride and Martínez announced that expansion of correction comprising of hydroxides with high responsive surface zone diminished the solvency of As, Cd, Cu, Mo, and Pb while the dissolvability of Ni and Zn was not changed. Soil air circulation, microbial movement, and mineral sythesis have additionally been appeared to impact heavy metal accessibility in soils . Then again, heavy metals may adjust soil properties particularly soil organic properties. Checking changes in soil microbiological and biochemical properties after sullyng can be utilized to assess the force of soil contamination in light of the fact that these techniques are more touchy and results can be acquired at a speedier rate contrasted and observing soil physical and synthetic properties. Heavy metals influence the number, assorted variety, and exercises of soil microorganisms. The lethality of these metals on microorganisms relies upon various factors, for example, soil temperature, pH, earth minerals, natural issue, inorganic anions and cations, and concoction types of the metal . There are errors

in thinks about looking at the impact of heavy metals on soil natural properties. While a few analysts have recorded negative impact of heavy metals on soil natural properties , others have revealed no connection between high heavy metal fixations and some dirt (micro)biological properties . A portion of the irregularities may emerge in light of the fact that some of these investigations were directed under research center conditions utilizing misleadingly debased soils while others were done utilizing soils from zones that are really dirtied in the field. Despite the beginning of the soils utilized as a part of these examinations, the way that the impact of heavy metals on soil organic properties should be contemplated in more detail with a specific end goal to completely comprehend the impact of these metals on the dirt biological community remains. Further, it is fitting to utilize an extensive variety of strategies, (for example, microbial biomass, C and N mineralization, breath, and enzymatic exercises) when considering impact of metals on soil natural properties instead of concentrating on a solitary strategy since comes about got from utilization of various techniques would be more far reaching and convincing. The nearness of one heavy metal may influence the accessibility of another in the dirt and henceforth plant. As such, adversarial and synergistic practices exist among heavy metals. Salgare and Acharekar announced that the inhibitory impact of Mn on the aggregate sum of mineralized C was estranged by the nearness of Cd. Also, Cu and Zn and Ni and Cd have been accounted for to vie for a similar film bearers in plants . Interestingly, Cu was accounted for to expand the poisonous quality of Zn in spring grain . This infers the interrelationship between heavy metals is very mind boggling; consequently more research is required here. Distinctive types of a similar metal may likewise interface with each other. Abedin et al. announced that the nearness of arsenite emphatically smothered the take-up of arsenate by rice plants developing on a dirtied soil.

3. Impact of heavy metal on development and growth of plants:

The heavy metals that are accessible for plant take-up are those that are available as dissolvable segments in the dirt arrangement or those that are effectively solubilized by root exudates . Despite the fact that plants require certain heavy metals for their development and upkeep, over the top measures of these metals can wind up dangerous to plants. The capacity of plants to amass fundamental metals similarly empowers them to get other insignificant metals . As metals can't be separated, when focuses inside the plant surpass ideal levels, they antagonistically influence the plant both specifically and by implication. A portion of the direct poisonous

impacts caused by high metal focus incorporate restraint of cytoplasmic proteins and harm to cell structures because of oxidative pressure . A case of backhanded harmful impact is the substitution of fundamental supplements at cation trade locales of plants . Further, the negative impact heavy metals have on the development and exercises of soil microorganisms may likewise by implication influence the development of plants. For example, a lessening in the quantity of valuable soil microorganisms because of high metal fixation may prompt reduction in natural issue decay prompting a decrease in soil supplements. Chemical exercises helpful for plant digestion may likewise be hampered because of heavy metal obstruction with exercises of soil microorganisms. These lethal impacts (both immediate and aberrant) prompt a decrease in plant development which now and again brings about the demise of plant. The impact of heavy metal harmfulness on the development of plants changes as indicated by the specific heavy metal associated with the procedure. The dangerous impacts of particular metals on development, organic chemistry, and physiology of different plants. For metals, for example, Pb, Cd, Hg, and As which don't assume any valuable part in plant development, unfavorable impacts have been recorded at low groupings of these metals in the development medium. Kibra recorded huge lessening in stature of rice plants developing on a dirt sullied with 1 mgHg/kg. Decreased tiller and panicle development likewise happened at this convergence of Hg in the dirt. For Cd, lessening in shoot and root development in wheat plants happened when Cd in the dirt arrangement was as low as 5 mg/L . A large portion of the decrease in development parameters of plants developing on dirtied soils can be credited to lessened photosynthetic exercises, plant mineral sustenance, and diminished movement of a few chemicals. For different metals which are useful to plants, "little" convergences of these metals in the dirt could really enhance plant development and improvement. Notwithstanding, at higher groupings of these metals, decreases in plant development have been recorded. For example, Jayakumar et al. revealed that, at 50 mgCo/kg, there was an expansion in supplement substance of tomato plants contrasted and the control. On the other hand, at 100 mgCo/kg to 250 mgCo/kg, diminishments in plant supplement content were recorded. Essentially, increment in plant development, supplement content, biochemical substance, and cell reinforcement compound exercises (catalase) was seen in radish and mung bean at 50 mgCo/kg soil fixation while diminishments were recorded at 100 mgCo/kg to 250 mgCo/kg soil focus . Changes in development and physiology of group beans have additionally been accounted for at Zn convergence of 25 mg/L of the dirt

arrangement. Then again, development lessening and antagonistic impact on the plant's physiology begun when the dirt arrangement contained 50 mgZn/L . It merits specifying that, in most genuine circumstances, (for example, transfer of sewage muck and metal mining squanders) where soil might be dirtied with in excess of one heavy metal, both adversarial and synergistic connections between heavy metals may influence plant metal poisonous quality. Nicholls and Mal revealed that the blend of Pb and Cu at both high fixation (1000 mg/kg each) and low focus (500 mg/kg) brought about a fast and finish passing of the leaves and stem of *Lythrum salicaria*. The creators detailed that there was no synergistic collaboration between these heavy metals likely on the grounds that the fixations utilized as a part of the analysis were too high for intuitive relationship to be seen between the metals. Another investigation analyzed the impact of 6 heavy metals (Cd, Cr, Co, Mn, and Pb) on the development of maize. The outcome demonstrated that the nearness of these metals in soil decreased the development and protein substance of maize. The harmfulness of these metals happened in the accompanying request: Cd > Co > Hg > Mn > Pb > Cr. It was additionally seen in this examination that the joined impact of at least 2 heavy metals was just as unsafe as the impact of the most harmful heavy metal. The specialist ascribed this outcome to the hostile relationship which exists between heavy metals. Note that specific plants can endure high convergence of heavy metals in their condition. Pastry specialist announced that these plants can endure these metals by means of 3 systems, to be specific, (I) avoidance: confinement of metal transport and support of a consistent metal fixation in the shoot over an extensive variety of soil focuses; (ii) incorporation: metal fixations in the shoot mirroring those in the dirt arrangement through a direct relationship; and (iii) bioaccumulation: aggregation of metals in the shoot and underlying foundations of plants at both low and high soil focuses.

4. Bioremediation with heavy metal polluted soil:

Bioremediation is the utilization of creatures (microorganisms or potentially plants) for the treatment of dirtied soils. It is a generally acknowledged strategy for soil remediation since it is seen to happen by means of normal procedures. It is similarly a practical technique for soil remediation. Blaylock et al. revealed half to 65% sparing when bioremediation was utilized for the treatment of 1 section of land of Pb dirtied soil contrasted and the situation when a customary strategy (uncovering and landfill) was utilized for a similar reason. In spite of the fact that

bioremediation is a non disruptive technique for soil remediation, it is normally tedious and its utilization for the treatment of heavy metal contaminated soils is in some cases influenced by the climatic and geographical states of the site to be remediated. Heavy metals can't be corrupted amid bioremediation however must be changed from one natural complex or oxidation state to another. Because of an adjustment in their oxidation state, heavy metals can be changed to wind up either less lethal, effortlessly volatilized, more water dissolvable (and in this manner can be expelled through draining), less water solvent (which enables them to accelerate and turn out to be effectively expelled from the earth) or less bioavailable . Bioremediation of heavy metals can be accomplished by means of the utilization of microorganisms, plants, or the mix of the two creatures.

5. Utilizing Plants for Remediation of Heavy Metal Polluted Soils :

Phytoremediation is a part of bioremediation that utilizes plants for the treatment of dirtied soils. It is appropriate when the contaminations cover a wide zone and when they are inside the root zone of the plant [76]. Phytoremediation of heavy metal dirtied soils can be accomplished by means of various components. These instruments incorporate phytoextraction, phytostabilization, and phytovolatilization.

5.1. Phytoextraction

This is the most broadly perceived kind of phytoremediation. It incorporates gathering of overwhelming metals in the roots and shoots of phytoremediation plants. These plants are later gathered and consumed. Plants used for phytoextraction as a general rule have the going with characteristics: fast improvement rate, high biomass, expansive root system, and ability to persevere through high measures of overwhelming metals. This ability to bear high merging of overwhelming metals by these plants may provoke metal gathering in the harvestable part; this may be hazardous through pollution of the regular pecking request . There are two approaches to manage phytoextraction depending upon the properties of the plants related with the technique. The primary approach incorporates the usage of customary hyperaccumulators, that is, plants with high metal-gathering limit, while the second method incorporates the use of high biomass plants whose ability to accumulate metals is induced by the use of chelates, that is, soil amendments with metal collecting limit . Hyperaccumulators gather 10 to 500 times a bigger

number of metals than customary plant ; in this way they are amazingly fitting for phytoremediation. A fundamental trademark which makes hyperaccumulation possible is the protection of these plants to growing meetings of these metals (hypertolerance). This could be an outcome of preclusion of these metals from the plants or by compartmentalization of these metal particles; that is, the metals are held in the vacuolar compartments or cell dividers and subsequently don't approach cell districts where basic limits, for instance, breath and cell division happen. Generally, a plant can be known as a hyperaccumulator in case it meets the going with criteria: (I) the gathering of metal in the shoot must be higher than 0.1% for Al, As, Co, Cr, Cu, Ni, and Se, higher than 0.01% for Cd, and higher than 1.0% for Zn ; (ii) the extent of shoot to root center must be dependably higher than 1 ; this demonstrates the ability to transport metals from roots to shoot and the nearness of hypertolerance limit]; (iii) the extent of shoot to root obsession must be higher than 1; this exhibits the level of plant metal take-up . Reeves and Baker uncovered a couple of instances of plants which can gather a considerable measure of substantial metals and from now on can be used as a piece of remediation contemplates.. A couple of plants can assemble more than one metal. For instance, Yang et al. watched that the Zn hyperaccumulator, *Sedum alfredii*, can comparably hyperaccumulate Cd. The probability of debasing the advanced lifestyle utilizing hyperaccumulators is an important constraint in phytoextraction. In any case, various kinds of the Brassicaceae family which are known to be hyperaccumulators of substantial metals contain high measures of thiocyanates which make them unpalatable to animals; hence this reduces the openness of these metals in the characteristic lifestyle . Most hyperaccumulators are generally direct cultivators with low plant biomass; this lessens the efficiency of the remediation technique . Thus, remembering the true objective to grow the adequacy of phytoextraction, plants with high advancement rate and furthermore high biomass (e.g., maize, sorghum, and steed sustain) are now and again used together with metal chelating substances for soil remediation work out. Note that some hyperaccumulators, for instance, certain species inside the Brassica sort (*Brassica napus*, *Brassica juncea*, and *Brassica rapa*) are speedy cultivators with high biomass. Generally speaking, plants hold metals that are instantly open in the soil course of action. But a couple of metals are accessible in dissolvable structures for plant take-up, others occur as insoluble support and are henceforth out of reach for plant take-up. Development of chelating substances prevents precipitation and metal sorption by methods for the course of action of metal chelate structures; this subsequently extends the

bioavailability of these metals . Further, the development of chelates to the earth can transport more metals into the soil game plan through the crumbling of supported blends and desorption of sorbed species [13]. Certain chelates are in like manner prepared to translocate overwhelming metal into the shoots of plants. Marques et al. detailed instances of designed chelates which have adequately been used to remove overwhelming metals from dirtied soils. Some of these chelates join EDTA (ethylenediaminetetraacetic destructive), EDDS (SS-ethylenediamine disuccinic destructive), CDTA (trans-1,2-diaminocyclohexane-N,N,N',N'-tetraacetic destructive), EDDHA (ethylenediamine-di-o-hydroxyphenylacetic destructive), DTPA (diethylenetriaminepentaacetic destructive), and HEDTA (N-hydroxyethylenediaminetriacetic destructive). EDTA is a designed chelate that is by and large used not simply in light of the way that it is the scarcest expensive differentiated and other made chelates yet also since it has a high ability to adequately upgrade plant metal take-up [106– 108]. Regular chelates, for instance, citrus separate and malic destructive can in like manner be used to improve phytoextraction of overwhelming metals from debased soils . One critical burden of using chelates in phytoextraction is the possible polluting of groundwater through depleting of these substantial metals . This is an aftereffect of the extended availability of overwhelming metals in the soil course of action when these chelates are used. Likewise, when chelates (especially built chelates) are used as a piece of high concentrations, they can wind up noxious to plants and soil life forms. At the point when all is said in done, dissolvability/openness of overwhelming metals for plant take-up and sensibility of a site for phytoextraction are additional elements that should be considered (despite propriety of plants) before using phytoextraction for soil remediation.

6. Plant-based technologies of phytoremediation:

6.1 Rhizofiltration :

Metal contaminations in mechanical process water and in groundwater are most generally evacuated by precipitation or flocculation, trailed by sedimentation and transfer of the subsequent slop. A promising contrasting option to this ordinary clean - up strategy is rhizofiltration. Rhizofiltration expels contaminants from water and watery waste streams, for example, farming run-off, modern releases, and atomic material handling squanders. Ingestion and adsorption by plant roots assume a key part in this method, and thusly huge root surface zones are normally required. In examine related with Epcot Center, shut frameworks with

recycling supplements have shown the advantages of Rhizofiltration and biofiltration utilizing an assortment of animal categories, (for example, greeneries and scented geraniums).

6.2 Phytostabilisation:

It generally called phytoremediation, is a plant based remediation framework that adjusts out misuses and suspects presentation pathway by methods for wind and water crumbling; gives weight driven control, which smothers the vertical migration of contaminants into groundwater; and physically and artificially immobilizes contaminants by root sorption and by compound fixation on various soil amendments. Erosion and separating can initiate soil contaminants realizing ethereal or waterborne tainting of additional districts. In phytostabilization, gathering by plant roots or precipitation in the earth by root exudates immobilizes and reduces the openness of soil contaminants. Plants creating on sullied districts moreover offset the soil and can fill in as a groundcover thusly decreasing breeze and water crumbling and coordinate contact of the contaminants with animals. Vital phytostabilization wanders have been used in France and the Netherlands. The target of phytostabilization isn't to remove metal contaminants from a site, yet rather to settle them and decline the danger to human prosperity and the earth.

6.3 Phytoextraction:

Phytoextraction includes the expulsion of poisons, particularly overwhelming metals and metalloids, by the underlying foundations of the plants with resulting transport to elevated plant organs. Poisons gathered in stems and leaves are reaped with collecting plants and expelled from the site. Phytoextraction can be isolated into two classifications: constant and instigated. Constant phytoextraction requires the utilization of plants that aggregate especially abnormal amounts of the harmful contaminants all through their lifetime. The foundations of the built up plants ingest metal components from the dirt and translocate them to the over the ground shoots where they gather (hyperaccumulators), while actuated phytoextraction happen if metal accessibility in the dirt isn't satisfactory for adequate plant take-up, chelates or acidifying specialists might be utilized to free them into the dirt arrangement.

7. Biodiversity Concerns for phytoremediation of metals with respect to environmental considerations:

Numerous perilous waste locales contain a blend of contaminant like salts, organics, substantial metals, follow components, and radioactive mixes. The synchronous cleanup of numerous, blended contaminants utilizing customary concoction and warm strategies are both actually troublesome and costly; these techniques additionally obliterate the biotic segment of soils. Biodiversity prospects offer a few chances of which the most vital is to spare however much as could be expected of the world's colossal assortment of biological communities. It would prompt the disclosure of wild plants that could clean contaminated situations of the world. The want to profit by this new thoughts need to give solid impetuses to moderating nature. Sea-going plants in crisp water, marine and estuarine frameworks go about as container for a few metals. Examples of less difficult phytoremediation frameworks that have been utilized for a considerable length of time are developed or designed wetlands, frequently utilizing cattails to treat corrosive mine seepage or civil sewage. Our work stretches out to more muddled remediation cases: the phytoremediation of a site debased with substantial metals and additionally radionuclides includes "cultivating" the dirt with chose plants to "biomine" the inorganic contaminants, which are packed in the plant biomass. For soils tainted with lethal organics, the approach is comparative, yet the plant may take up or aid the corruption of the natural mixes . A few successive yields of hyper collecting plants could diminish soil groupings of poisonous inorganics or organics to the degree that remaining focuses would be earth satisfactory and never again thought to be dangerous. The potential additionally exists for debasing the unsafe natural part of blended tainting, along these lines lessening the waste (which might be sequestered in plant biomass) to a more reasonable radioactive one. For treating tainted wastewater, the phytoremediation plants are developed in a bed of inactive granular substrate, for example, sand or pea rock, utilizing hydroponic or aeroponic methods. The wastewater, supplemented with supplements if important, streams through this bed, which is ramified with plant roots that capacity a s a natural channel and a contaminant take-up framework. An additional favorable position of phytoremediation of wastewater is the significant volume lessening achieved through evapotranspiration .Phytoremediation is appropriate for applications in low-penetrability soils, where most presently utilized innovations have a low level of attainability or achievement, and also in blend with more regular tidy up advances (electromigration, froth movement, and so

forth.). In suitable circumstances, phytoremediation can be a contrasting option to the significantly harsher remediation advancements of burning, warm vaporization, dissolvable washing, or other soil washing systems, which basically devastate the natural segment of the dirt and can radically change its substance and physical attributes too, making a generally nonviable strong waste. Phytoremediation really benefits the dirt, leaving an enhanced, useful, soil biological system at costs assessed at roughly one-tenth of those as of now embraced technologies. Phytoremediation is really a nonexclusive term for a few manners by which plants can be utilized to tidy up debased soils and water. Plants may separate or debase :natural poisons, or expel and balance out metal contaminants. This might be done through one of or a mix of the strategies. The strategies used to phytoremediate metal contaminants are marginally unique to those used to remediate locales dirtied with natural contaminants. The different sort of phytoremediation process like, Phytoextraction, Rhizofiltration, Phytostabilization, Phytovolatilization, phytodegradation has been accounted for . The key factor for the accomplishment of remediation process relies upon attributes to mine waste, geo climatic conditions, kinds of change utilized and determination of plants species. Assessment of the distinctive portion of bioavailable metals, their portability in plant parts and development of the plant species on defiled side could be useful for phytoremediation of metallic waste.

8. References:

1. Alkorta I, Hernandez-Allica J, Becerril J. M, Amezaga I, Albizu I, Garbisu C: 2004, 'Recent findings on the phytoremediation of soils contaminated with environmentally toxic heavy metals and metalloids such as zinc, cadmium, lead, and arsenic', reviews in Environmental Science and Bio/Technology 3, 71-90.
2. Angelova V, Ivanov K, Ivanova R: 2004, Effects of chemical forms of Pb, Cd and Zn in polluted soils on their uptake by Tobacco', Journal of Plant nutrition 27(5), 757-773.
3. Axtell N. R, Sternberg S. P. K, Claussen K: 2003, 'Lead and nickel removal using *Microspora* and *Lemna minor*', Bioresource technology 89, 41-48.
4. Basta N, Gradwohl R: 2000, 'Estimation of Cd, Pb, and Zn bioavailability in smelter-contaminated soils by a sequential extraction procedure', journal of soil contamination 9(2), 149-164.
5. Beiergrohslain Erik: 1998, 'The use of surfactants in removal of zinc, lead and cadmium from contaminated soils'.

6. Caille N, Swanwick S, Zhao F. J, McGrath S. P: 2004, 'Arsenic hyperaccumulation by *Pteris vittata* from arsenic contaminated soils and the effect of liming and phosphate fertilisation', *Environmental Pollution* 132, 113-120.
7. Clemente R, Walker D. J, Bernal M. P: 2005, 'Uptake of heavy metals and As by *Brassica juncea* grown in a contaminated soil in Aznalcollar (Spain): The effect of soil amendments', *Environmental pollution*.
8. Ettler V, Vanek A, Mihaljevic M, Bezdicka P: 2005, 'Contrasting lead speciation in forest and tilled soils heavily polluted by lead metallurgy', *Chemosphere* 58(10), 1449-1459.
9. Evanko C. R, Dzombak D. A: 1997, 'Remediation of metals – Contaminated soils and groundwater', Technology Evaluation report, Ground water remediation Technologies Analysis Center.
10. Garcia G, Faz A, Cunha M: 2004, 'Performance of *Piptatherum miliaceum* (Smilo grass) in edaphic Pb and Zn phytoremediation over a short growth period', *International Bioremediation & Biodegradation* 54, 245-250.
11. Gardea-Torresdey J. L, Peralta-Videa J. R, de la Rosa G, Parsons J. G: 2005, 'Phytoremediation of heavy metals and study of the metal coordination by X-ray absorption spectroscopy', *Coordination chemistry reviews*.
12. Das J. (2003). Geochemistry of trace elements in the ground water of Cuttack city, India. *Water, Air and Soil Pollution*, 147, p.p. 129-140.
13. Davies B. E. (1997). Heavy metal contaminated soils in an old industrial area of Wales, Great Britain. *Water, Air and Soil Pollution*, 94, p.p. 85-98.
14. Aery, N.C. and Tiagi, Y.D. (1985), studies on the reclamation of tailing dam at Zawar Mines, Udaipur, India.
15. A. Sharma : Phytoremediation : A novel strategy for the clean –up of contaminated lands. (Ed. P.C. Trivedi) Avishker Publ, Jaipur. PP.67-93 (2004)