

## A FOCUS ON FIXED POINT THEOREM IN BANACH SPACE

Neena B. Gupta\*

### Abstract

In this paper we present a fixed point theorem with the help of self mapping which satisfy the contractive type of condition in Banach Space. Its purpose is to change the contractive condition by D.P. Shukla & Shivkant Tiwari [4]

### Keywords :

Fixed point, self maps, contraction mapping, Banach Space, Cauchy Sequence, Convex Set.

### Introduction :

In (1979) Fisher gave the contractive condition for a mapping  $S : X \rightarrow X$

$$[d(Sx, Ty)]^2 \leq \alpha d(x, Sx)d(y, Sy) + \beta d(x, Sy)d(y, Sx)$$

For all  $x, y \in X$  and  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$  and  $\beta \geq 0$

In 2012 D.P. Shukla [4] established a fixed point theorem satisfying the following condition

$$[d(Sx, Sy)]^2 \leq \alpha \cdot \min \left[ \begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{5}\{d(x, Sx)d(x, Sy)+d(x, Sy)d(y, Sx)\}, \\ \frac{1}{5}\{d(x, Sx)d(x, Sy)+d(x, Sx)d(y, Sx)\}, \\ \frac{1}{5}\{d(x, Sy)d(y, Sx)+d(x, Sx)d(y, Sx)\} \end{array} \right]$$

\* Asst. Prof. of Mathematics, Career College, Bhopal, M.P., India

**Definition and Preliminaries :**

- **Banach Space :** A normed linear space which is complete as a metric space is called a Banach Space.
- **Contacting Mapping :** If  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space. A mapping  $S: X \rightarrow X$  is called a contacting mapping if there exists a real number  $\alpha$  with

$$0 \leq \alpha < 1 \text{ such that } d(Sx, Sy) \leq \alpha d(x, y) \leq d(x, y), \text{ for every } x, y \in X$$

Thus in a contracting mapping the distance between the images of any two points is less than the distance between the points.

- **Fixed point :** Let  $X$  be a non empty set and Let  $S : X \rightarrow X$ , for all  $x \in X$

Such that  $Sx = x$ , for all  $x \in X$

That is  $S$  maps  $X$  to itself.

Then  $x$  is called fixed point of the mapping  $S$

- **Convex Set :** A non empty subset  $X$  of a Banach Space is said to be convex

if  $(1-\alpha)x + \alpha y \in X$ , for all  $x, y \in X$

where  $\alpha$  is any real such that  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$

**Theorem :**

Let  $X$  be a closed and convex subset of a Banach Space and let  $S$  be a self mapping of  $X$  into itself which satisfies the following condition :

$$[d(Sx, Sy)]^2 \leq \alpha \max \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{4}d(x, Sx)d(x, STx), \\ \frac{1}{4}d(x, Sx)d(Tx, Sx), \\ \frac{1}{4}d(x, STx)d(Tx, Sx), \end{bmatrix}, \text{ For all } x \in X$$

And  $y \in \{Sx, Tx, STx\}$  &  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$

Where  $T$  is self mapping in  $X$  such that

$$Tx = \frac{x+Sx}{2} \dots\dots\dots(2)$$

Then S has a fixed point

Proof- By the definition of metric space

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, Sx) &= \|x - Sx\| \\ &= \|x + x - Sx - x\| \\ &= 2 \left\| \frac{2x - (Sx + x)}{2} \right\| \\ &= 2 \left\| x - \frac{Sx + x}{2} \right\| \\ &= 2 \|x - Tx\| \\ &= 2 \|x - Tx\|, \text{ by(2)} \\ &= 2d(x, Tx) \dots\dots\dots(3) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } d(Sx, Tx) &= \|Sx - Tx\| \\ &= \left\| Sx - \frac{x+Sx}{2} \right\|, \text{by(2)} \\ &= \left\| \frac{Sx - x}{2} \right\| \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \|x - Sx\| \\ &= \frac{1}{2} d(x, Sx) \dots\dots\dots(4) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Again } d(Sx, Tx) &= \frac{1}{2} d(x, Sx) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [2d(x, Tx)], \text{by (3)} \end{aligned}$$

$$d(Sx, Tx) = d(x, Tx) \dots\dots\dots(5)$$

Now taking A = 2[(Tx-STx)+STx]

$$\begin{aligned} &= 2 \left[ \frac{x+Sx}{2} - STx \right] + STx \\ &= x+Sx-STx \dots\dots\dots(6) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Now } d(A, STx) &= \|A - STx\| \\
 &= \|x + Sx - STx - STx\|, \text{ by(6)} \\
 &= \|2Tx - 2STx\|, \text{ by2} \\
 &= \|x + Sx - STx - STx\|, \text{ by(6)} \\
 &= \|2Tx - 2STx\|, \text{ by2} \\
 &= 2\|Tx - STx\| \\
 &= 2d(Tx, STx) \\
 &= 2 \cdot 2d(Tx, TTx), \text{ by(3)} \\
 &= 4d(Tx, T^2x) \dots \dots \dots (7)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Now } d(A, STx) &\leq d(A, Sx) + d(Sx, STx), \text{ by triangular Inequality} \\
 &= \|A - Sx\| + d(Sx, STx) \\
 &= \|x + Sx - STx - Sx\| + d(Sx, STx), \text{ by(6)} \\
 &= \|(x - Sx) + (Sx - ST)\| + d(Sx, STx) \\
 &\leq \|x - Sx\| + \|Sx - STx\| + d(Sx, STx) \\
 &= d(x, Sx) + d(Sx, STx) + d(Sx, STx) \\
 &= d(x, Sx) + 2d(Sx, STx)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow d(A, STx) \leq d(x, Sx) + 2d(Sx, STx) \dots \dots \dots (8)$$

By (7) & (8)

$$\begin{aligned}
 4d(Tx, T^2x) &\leq d(x, Sx) + 2d(Sx, STx) \\
 &= 2d(x, Tx) + 2d(Sx, STx), \text{ By (3)} \\
 \Rightarrow 4d(Tx, T^2x) &\leq 2d(x, Tx) + 2d(Sx, STx) \\
 2d(Tx, T^2x) &\leq d(x, Tx) + d(Sx, STx) \dots \dots \dots (9)
 \end{aligned}$$

Now from (1)

$$[d(Sx, Sy)]^2 \leq \alpha \cdot \max \left[ \begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{4}d(x, Sx).d(x, STx), \\ \frac{1}{4}d(x, Sx).d(Tx, Sx), \\ \frac{1}{4}d(x, STx).d(Tx, Sx) \end{array} \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow d(Sx, STx) &\leq \alpha \cdot \max \left[ \begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{4}d(x, Sx)[d(x, Sx) + d(Sx, STx)], \\ &\frac{1}{4}d(x, Sx)\frac{1}{2}(x, Sx), \\ &\frac{1}{4}[d(Sx, Tx)(d(x, Sx) + d(Sx, STx))] \end{aligned} \right] \quad \text{By Triangular Inequality \& By (4) \& } y = Tx \\ &= \alpha \cdot \max \left[ \begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{4}[(d(x, Sx))^2 + d(x, Sx)d(Sx, STx)], \\ &\frac{1}{8}[d(x, Sx)]^2 \\ &\frac{1}{4}\left[\frac{1}{2}d(x, Sx)[d(x, Sx) + d(Sx, STx)]\right] \end{aligned} \right] \\ &= \alpha \cdot \max \left[ \begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{4}[(d(x, Sx))^2 + d(x, Sx)d(Sx, STx)], \\ &\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{1}{4}(d(x, Sx))^2\right], \\ &\frac{1}{8}\{[d(x, Sx)]^2 + d(x, Sx)d(Sx, STx)\} \end{aligned} \right] \\ &= \alpha \max \left[ \begin{aligned} &2\left[\frac{1}{8}(d(x, Sx))^2 + \frac{1}{8}d(x, Sx)d(Sx, STx)\right], \\ &\frac{1}{8}(d(x, Sx))^2, \\ &\frac{1}{8}(d(x, Sx))^2 + \frac{1}{8}d(x, Sx)d(Sx, STx) \end{aligned} \right] \\ &= \alpha \cdot 2 \left[ \frac{1}{8}[d(x, Sx)]^2 + \frac{1}{8}d(x, Sx)d(Sx, STx) \right] \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{4} \left[ [d(x, Sx)]^2 + d(x, Sx)d(Sx, STx) \right] \\ \Rightarrow 4[d(Sx, STx)]^2 &\leq \alpha \left[ [d(x, Sx)]^2 + d(x, Sx)d(Sx, STx) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow 4[d(Sx, STx)]^2 - \alpha d(x, Sx)d(x, STx) - \alpha[d(x, Sx)]^2 \leq 0$$

Which is quadratic equation

Then by the solution for equation  $ax^2+bx+c=0$  is given by

$$x = \frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a}$$

$$\text{Hence } d(S, STx) - \frac{\alpha(x, Sx) \pm \sqrt{\alpha^2 [d(x, Sx)]^2 + 16\alpha (d(x, Sx))^2}}{2 \times 4} \leq 0$$

$$d(S, STx) - \frac{\alpha(x, Sx) \pm d(x, Sx) \sqrt{\alpha^2 + 16\alpha}}{8} \leq 0$$

$$d(S, STx) - \frac{2d(x, Tx) [\alpha + \sqrt{\alpha^2 + 16\alpha}]}{8} \leq 0$$

$$d(S, STx) - \frac{d(x, Tx) [\alpha + \sqrt{\alpha^2 + 16\alpha}]}{4} \leq 0, \text{ by (3), on taking (+ve) sign}$$

$$d(Sx, STx) - \zeta d(x, Tx) \leq 0$$

$$d(Sx, STx) \leq \zeta d(x, Tx) \dots \dots \dots (10)$$

$$\text{Where } \zeta = \frac{\alpha + \sqrt{\alpha^2 + 16\alpha}}{4}$$

Where  $0 \leq \zeta < 1$

$$\text{Because if } \zeta < 1 \text{ then } \frac{\alpha + \sqrt{\alpha^2 + 16\alpha}}{4} < 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha + \sqrt{\alpha^2 + 16\alpha} < 4$$

$$\Rightarrow \sqrt{\alpha^2 + 16\alpha} < 4 - \alpha$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha^2 + 16\alpha < (4 - \alpha)^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha^2 + 16\alpha < 16 + \alpha^2 - 8\alpha$$

$$\Rightarrow 24\alpha < 16 \quad \Rightarrow \alpha < \frac{16}{24} \quad \Rightarrow \alpha < 0.66 < 1 \quad \Rightarrow \alpha < 1$$

Hence  $\zeta < 1$

And also  $0 \leq \alpha \Rightarrow 0 \leq \zeta$  Hence  $0 \leq \zeta < 1$

Now by (9) & (10)

$$2d(Tx, T^2x) \leq d(x, Tx) + \zeta d(x, Tx) = (1 + \zeta)d(x, Tx)$$

$$d(Tx, T^2x) \leq \frac{(1 + \zeta)}{2} d(x, Tx)$$

Similarly

$$d(T^2x, T^3x) \leq \frac{(1+\zeta)}{2} d(Tx, T^2x) \\ \leq \frac{(1+\zeta)}{2} \frac{(1+\zeta)}{2} d(x, Tx) = \left(\frac{1+\zeta}{2}\right)^2 d(x, Tx)$$

Similarly we can find

$$d(T^3x, T^4x) \leq \left(\frac{1+\zeta}{2}\right)^3 d(x, Tx)$$

$$\text{and } d(T^4x, T^5x) \leq \left(\frac{1+\zeta}{2}\right)^4 d(x, Tx)$$

-----  
-----

$$d(T^n x, T^{n+1}x) \leq \left(\frac{1+\zeta}{2}\right)^n d(x, Tx) \dots \dots \dots (11)$$

$$\because \zeta < 1 \Rightarrow 1+\zeta < 2 \Rightarrow \frac{(1+\zeta)}{2} < 1$$

$$\text{Then } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1+\zeta}{2}\right)^n = 0$$

$\therefore$  from equation (11)  $d(T^n x, T^{n+1}x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

$d(T^n x, T^{n+1}x) < \varepsilon$  for  $\varepsilon > 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

$\therefore \{T^n x\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a Cauchy Sequence in X. (By the definition of Cauchy Sequence)

But X is a Banach Space. Then by the property of completeness

$\therefore \{T^n x\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a convergent sequence in X, which converges to a fixed point.

Let there exists a point  $\omega$  in X such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n x = \omega \dots \dots \dots (12)$

Now consider  $d(\omega, S\omega) \leq d(\omega, T^{n+1}\omega) + d(T^{n+1}\omega, S\omega)$  by triangular, Inequality

$$= d(\omega, T^{n+1}\omega) + d(TT^n\omega, S\omega)$$

$$= d(\omega, T^{n+1}\omega) + \|TT^n\omega - S\omega\|$$

$$= d(\omega, T^{n+1}\omega) + \left\| \frac{1}{2}(T^n\omega + ST^n\omega) - S\omega \right\|, \text{ by (2)}$$

$$= d(\omega, T^{n+1}\omega) + \left\| \frac{1}{2}T^n\omega - \frac{1}{2}S\omega + \frac{1}{2}ST^n\omega - \frac{1}{2}S\omega \right\|$$

$$\leq d(\omega, T^{n+1}\omega) + \frac{1}{2}\|ST^n\omega - S\omega\| + \frac{1}{2}\|T^n\omega - S\omega\|$$

$$= d(\omega, T^{n+1}\omega) + \frac{1}{2}d(ST^n\omega, S\omega) + \frac{1}{2}d(T^n\omega, S\omega)$$

$$= d(\omega, T^{n+1}\omega) + \frac{1}{2}(d(T^n\omega, S\omega) + d(S\omega, ST^n\omega))$$

$$= d(\omega, T^{n+1}\omega) + \frac{1}{2}(d(T^n\omega, ST^n\omega))$$

$$= d(\omega, T^{n+1}\omega) + d(T^n\omega, TT^n\omega), \text{ by (3)}$$

$$= d(\omega, T^{n+1}\omega) + d(T^n\omega, T^{n+1}\omega)$$

$$= d(\omega, T^{n+1}\omega) + d(T^{n+1}\omega, T^n\omega)$$

$$= d(\omega, T^n\omega)$$

$$= d(\omega, T^n\omega) \because X \text{ is convex.}$$

$$\Rightarrow d(\omega, S\omega) \leq d(\omega, T^n\omega)$$

As  $n \rightarrow \infty$  then  $d(\omega, T^n\omega) \rightarrow 0$ , by (12)

$$\therefore d(\omega, S\omega) \leq 0 \Rightarrow S\omega = \omega$$

$\therefore S$  has a fixed point  $\omega$  in  $X$



**References :**

- [1] Bajaj N., 2001. A fixed point theorem in Banach space:  
Vikram Mathematical Journal, 21:7-10.
- [2] Chaubey A.K. and Sahu D.P., 2011. Fixed point of contraction type mapping in Banach space.  
Napier Indian Advanced Research Journal of Science, 6:43-46.
- [3] Ciric Lj., 1977. Quasi-Contractions in Banach space: Publ. L'Instt. Math. (N.S.), 21(35):41-48.
- [4] D.P., Shukla, 2012, Fixed point theorem in Banach Space, Indian J.Sci. Res. 3(1):177-178
- [5] Fisher B., 1979. Mappings with a common fixed point: Math Sem. Notes, Kobe Unive., 7:81-84.

