

**IDENTIFY AND PRIORITIZE THE FACTORS
INFLUENCING SELECTION OF OFFICE AUTOMATION
SOFTWARE USING AHP AND SAW TECHNIQUES**

Dr.Naser Hamidi

Amir Saffari

Abstract:

Today, administrative systems, global systems that are their main task is to build relationships and improve communication. Communication of commercial information is important and your organization's survival and continued their activities with these organizations to competitive information age, communication tools, ie information systems and information technology.

In the 1960s that more aspects of the application and the administrative and commercial activities began to spread, despite the huge volume of information that an integrated system of appropriate administrative, include correspondence was clearly felt. This article discusses the different world of office automation systems to express the importance of information and information systems, development and evolution of information systems and office automation systems and ergonomic advantages and disadvantages of office automation and office automation system deals with different types of information systems is

After analyzing the questionnaires, the final criteria were identified and a second questionnaire was prepared including tables of comparative data from Zhu J and its criteria for rating criteria were used. The AHP method, the results indicate that the general features of the system, system management, facilities list, Dashboards, editable in the Editor, scanning and archiving is important in choosing the best office automation software.

Key words: Decision making, decision analysis, MCDM, office automation

Introduction:

With the increasing emergence of different forms of communication and the flow of communication, communication networks and trade in all affairs of life that pervades all parts of the world in different ways (production of goods or services) can be seen from this case is no exception.

With more sophisticated commercial communications, organizations of losing one of the sources of input (data) inevitably began to develop within their systems to make optimum use of the information in their surroundings and with appropriate processing, the data to customer satisfaction and enables them to offer.

Indeed, competitive business environment and changes in the environment of the 1990s (economic globalization of economies and societies into industrial and service economy based on knowledge and information), information systems need to be doubled. For that discussion of office automation systems that are typical of the types of information systems becomes more important every day, as today, organizations can often its highest readiness to deal with local environmental changes and see that high degree of automation have benefited. What are the office automation systems? What are the advantages and disadvantages? Real need to develop and build what they have? What types of applications or subsystems? What is different from other information systems? And...

1- The issue

- 2- Today Brgrdsh management and administrative work and correspondence, as well as time management in organizations and institutions has changed, and the technique of general economic and administrative problems Ghyrmkanyz·h is not acceptable.

The high volume of correspondence and access to information, officials and administrators who value their time management under his leadership, has led the office automation in various dimensions. Office automation, the best tool to achieve a good work in order to save time and optimum use of time in the organization. Mechanized solution to the flow of correspondence, curing and also to manage the workflow makes Mysrmy. In this process, eliminating paper correspondence, conservation and optimum use of time, be practical. Official Correspondence Ghyrmkanyz·h portion of the administrative system and cause problems such

astime-based organizations, non-flow control and monitoring of correspondence and Brmkatbatand...Be. These problems will be referred to the following outline:

- Hasaccessto thewritingofthe timeonadministrativeprocesses
- Recordsandthelackoforganizationand lack ofasuitabletoolfortermfollow-upletters
- Reportsandlack of access tocomprehensiveinformationandexchangesofletters
- Notrunthe administrativehierarchyandgeneraladministrativepolicies
- Lack of accessandopportunityto addressandworkinformationfromoutside the organization.
- Notfollowedbyaletter anditcyclesthrougheverymoment
- Lack ofsupervision ofthe administrative system, circulationandperformanceofindividuals
- Controllingaccessto information
- Archiveandaccessproblemsin terms ofsizeandtime limitandsubjectinformation
- Maintenanceand use ofmultiplefaxmachines, and owesnoincoming faxes
- And to identifyproblems intimetosendmultiplefaxesto sendtiming
- Lackofanintegrated environmentfor accessingcontentandinformation, including letters, drafts, fax, e-mail, referenceworks, Howe...Thisprojectislooking foroffice automationsoftware,according to the characteristicslistedinthe selection of asuitableautomatedsystem tohelp usDah, so this studyisthatfactors thatcouldshapetheMCDMtechniqueshelpus intheoffice automationsoftware.

Careandresearchpurposes

Activitiesandmeasuresandequipmentforgeneration, transmissionandprocessingare beingspent onadministrativedocumentationand office automation systems comprise correspondence is simply that set of measures to facilitate automated activity, and circulating letters in place of cit. Often these measures to manage, support and maintenance and simple distribution of information and documents in the administrative and technical design and usuallysoftware packagesis presented.

The main goal:

Knowledgeofoffice automation systemforidentifyingandselectinga system to rate its effectiveness on Bhrvryemployeesbydistrict 15of Tehran (with emphasis onhuman resources)

Research Methodology:

Comprehensive picture about office automation system provided by District 15 of Tehran, is important in these cases has been analyzed and reviewed previous and current hand position and hence the case method-field used took.

Data collection tools:

Due to the comprehensive nature of the research and the necessity to achieve appropriate quality level of the methods

1 -View 2 -Interview 3 -Questionnaire 4 -Review Documents

Were used as appropriate.

Statistical Society:

The research in the statistical community district 15 of Tehran province had by all employees.

Sampling:

A stratified random sampling

The research literature

In this study, evaluation criteria and indicators for mining, extensive studies have been conducted and a library of different models have been studied. Such research may be referred to as G. Minnott's article titled "AHP new technique depends on the decision together," is that it fully AHP technique is described along with a hypothetical example, [1]

Another thirty experts, senior research thesis, the researcher to identify and prioritize effective factors on productivity believes Pars Refractories Co. Yazd using MCDM techniques in environmental decision making is fuzzy and techniques he used in research LINMAP taken Tapsys, Alktr and, AHP, is [6]

In 2008 several articles published in International Journal of Marketing and Technology. SayedethatthesametechiniquesusedhaveTapsysAHPandafewofthemare"the weapons using techniques Tapsys AHP and fuzzy environment" (Dgdvyrn and the acoustic [9]), "

Of harmful waste transport companies using fuzzy AHP and Tapsys techniques" (Gamvs [11]) and "Wharf site selection using AHP and Tapsys techniques" (Avnvt and the age [12]) and

Articles other articles that may contact the researchers in their study of the AHP hierarchical tree, and then using the weighting technique and the technique Tapsy have to prioritize the options in your model.

In the current study was to answer the following questions:

Step 1- Identify the steps

Step 2- Ranking Factors

Step 3- Integrate results

Step 4- Ranking of options

Step 1- Identify the steps

The "Analytical Hierarchy Process" as one of my clock is provided by Professor Shihay Chndmyarh decision.

This method has attracted researchers of the 1980s and many studies have been conducted on it. The purpose of the Analytical Hierarchy Process creation or issue of a complex hierarchy of qualifications, from big to small classified or special materials and general economic, until we can thus understand the issue more closely to find.

Analytical Hierarchy Process for implementation in the first step, a hierarchical tree-drawing problem that has a goal, criteria and standards are below.

The next step should be in Tables paired comparison of criteria and Zyrmayr have prepared and be available to participants in the study. In the third step, the tables should be completed by individuals in terms of rate of incompatibility and tables are inconsistent with the rate of 1/0 are to be returned to individuals in their judgment that is inconsistent with their review. Finally opinions integrate with each other and to a final ranking of the standards we achieve. This is done by 2000 Team Expert Choices software.

SAW method

Simple weighted sum model, the SAW is one of the simplest methods in the multi-criteria decision making. Calculating the index weights can easily be used this way. To use this method, the following steps are necessary:

1. Quantitative decision-making matrix
2. The decision matrix of values of linear scaling
3. Scale matrix multiplication in the weights of indicators
4. Select the best option (A^*) using the following criteria:

In other words, the SAW method option is selected (A^*) the sum $A^* = \left\{ A_i \mid \text{Max} \sum_{j=1}^n n_{ij} w_j \right\}$ of its scale, ($n_{ij} w_j$) is higher than other options.

Priorities strategies

May be due to the different techniques for different grading. Shdrtbhabovethe unit comes with a problem, then for consensus ranking of the various methods of integration include: Breda and Cap method can be used in Maryland....

Method

Procedure of this study was based on three steps, the first step to fully understand the concepts and identify the dimensions and components of the research, managers, supervisors and employees by a number of experts in Tehran and senior programming and free guided interview was done and then according to the literature investigating the situation, criteria and indicators to improve the software that best identified the following 5 groups of 32 criteria in public facilities and systems capabilities, systems management, facilities list, Dashboards, editable the Editor, scan and archive, respectively.

Methods of data collection:

Due to the comprehensiveness of the research and the necessity to achieve appropriate quality level of the methods

1 -View 2 -Interview 3 -Questionnaire 4 -Review Documents

Were used as appropriate.

After the primary criteria was that 32 criteria, were identified by the research group, by questionnaire of the survey participants were asked to give them a score based on the following image.

میز انشا ئیر	خیلی زیاد	زیاد	متوسط	کم	خیلی کم
امتیاز	9	7	5	3	1

Because of limitations that existed in our company from the 32 questionnaires distributed, 30 were returned from that number. Arithmetic and geometric mean of the combined ideas and EXCEL software metrics were calculated from the final Priorities criteria and the final criteria were as follows.

Criteria that according to the analysis of a questionnaire had the highest importance, meaning that those whose score above the arithmetic average and geometric parameters of the responses to the questionnaire (individual market members) have been kept and a number of criteria than the arithmetic average or geometric mean, were excluded from the process.

After final criterion was 21, but the geometric mean is smaller than the geometric mean of all conditions is not well enough to be selected and the primary criterion of 32, 21 criteria were selected. In the final 21 were selected using criteria paired comparison softwares, individuals were compared to data obtained from the settable to work on different techniques in order to Priorities criteria was superior.

Criteria for choosing the best office automation software:

System Capabilities and Public Facilities

C1. Record all incoming and outgoing mail profile

C2. Define the internal organization chart as tree

C3. Therecord a letter by letter and attachments including: incoming and outgoing

System Management

C4. Secretariat to define one or more centralized and decentralized

C5. Multiple users can simultaneously use the system

C6. System can change the default settings for convenience

C7. Backup (Backup) to periodically and automatically

C8. Possible relationship between the Secretariat and the Secretariat to the Secretariat a letter from the other even at high

Facilitylist ofDashboards

- C9.Resolutionandfollow-uplettersreferredtoasthe nextpersononthe letterthattheyhavenoaction
- C10.Mayviewandinitialedtextfilesorrefertoyourletter
- C11.Insertrefertothe letterandthedeadline forfilinga letterofreferraloptions

Editablein theEditor

- C12.Type theletterby theeditorin 2007andMS Word 2003
- C13.Possiblewithotherdatabasesandinformationintext
- C14.Definingthe pattern andformdifferentnumberssetforeachindicator,the Secretariat
- C15.Variousreportsscanbeprepared ingeneral, graphs, statisticaltables
- C16.Reportof theOffice of theindicator
- C17.Reportofincomingcorrespondence, outgoing, and internal
- C18.formatandtextfilesstoredin theWordBanks Informationssystemsto preventconfusionandfragmentationtext fileletters

Sendatrans

- C19.Connectivitytoa variety ofinglesheetscannersandbatch
- C20.Physicallocation oftheInsertof letters, records andarchivesofZvnkn
- C21.Createmultiplecopiesofaletter andfilingthemindifferentZvnkn

Analysis ofdata

Consideringthe21criteriaof 32was chosen asthe primarycriterion, a secondquestionnairewas preparedandpairedwith 5Comparisonbetween 18subjectswere distributedanda total of 16questionnaireswere returned.

Step 2- RankingFactors

Thehierarchicalanalysisistechiniques

Aftercompletingthe questionnaire, using Team Expert Choicesoftwarewasintegratedwith each otheropinions. This software hasextensivefacilitiesforobtaining thematrixofpairedcomparisons,

and then integrating various subjects into the matrix is a matrix unit in which the geometric mean of the individual matrix elements, respectively.

So it was that way after these second questionnaire by the respondents, the matrix of paired comparisons of the questionnaire were entered into the software individually and determine the compatibility or incompatibility of ideas, opinion matrix incompatibility was required rate of each individual we calculated. Finally, 16 questionnaires were collected from 18 questionnaires that were distributed and collected after the last one was a software incompatibility were studied, application of 5 matrix for each combination of a matrix (which benefits all participants, the average it was geometry) and the final ranking of 21 criteria to weigh each case separately placed at our disposal.

AHP معيار در انتخاب بهترين نماهزار اتوماسيون نادار پير اساسي تکنیک 21 اوزان نهايي 1. نگاره

Ranking techniques based on SAW

After data from personal views into these second questionnaire was Expert Choices software, the software measures the weight of people's opinions, separately gave us, the decision to implement the method using the matrix form SAW pay, the result of evaluating any response to this questionnaire is completed and collected, a decision matrix with 21 rows (Tadmyarha) and 16 columns (number of respondents) that will work on other techniques multi-criteria decision-making is. After executing the above technique has the following results.

Step 3- Integrater results

The Breda

This method is based on majority rule. Method (AHP) that prefers A1 to A3. A1 to A3 Shhayy number who prefers more of the many ways that prefers A1 to A3. So the most methods, A1 and A3 are preferred in this case, paired comparison, with the M do. If the paired comparison, there was no majority vote or votes together was equal, it can be encoded with X. M as it is preferable that the column and row upon row of X, which indicates that the column is preferred. Separately for each paired comparison, is investigated. Number of comparisons equal to $m(m-1) / 2$ is m, the number of options. Disconnect option (ie M) is in line with the majority of [6].

Consider again the example of the use. Breda method options are mutually compared. The results are shown in the image below.

قاعدہ ہاشریت 3 نگارہ

As the chart (5) is specified, A1 and A3 and A4 also has a preference. So in column (CΣ), number 2 is given. Thus, according to Breda, the following options will be prioritized [6]:

$$A1 = A2 > A3 > A4$$

Kplnd method

This way, the end is the beginning of Breda. Kplnd method not only the number of boards, but the number of numbers, the losses can be calculated for each option. The last row of graphs (5) (ie row ΣR), total losses for Hrgzynh shows. For example, in painting (5), alternative A3, option A1 and option A2 is also telling. Thus losing its number, is 2. Rate Cap Land, which offers both options, with reducing the number of losses (ΣR) the number of boards (ΣC) is calculated.

Considering the previous example, based on Land Cap method, rating each option is calculated as follows:

$$\Sigma C - \Sigma R = 2 - 0 = 2 = A1 \text{ rating options}$$

$$2 - 0 = 2 = A2 \text{ rating options}$$

$$1 - 2 = -1 = A3 \text{ rating options}$$

$$\text{Score} = 0 - 3 = -3 \text{ Option A4}$$

The ranking of options, if $A1 = A2 > A3 > A4$ will be. [6]

The integration phase

At this stage, efforts should be prioritized according to the three strategies (mean rank, Breda and Kplnd) through a set of partial rankings (Poset) to achieve consensus. Under the merger, with linear priorities can be based on a consensus reached Poset. If, for example, set the priority to the mathematical language to express the following:

$$K = (O1, O2)$$

$$O1: A1 > A2 > A3 > A4$$

$$O2: A2 > A1 > A3 > A4$$

When all elements of O1 and O2, have the same set, ie $S = \{A1, A2, A3, A4\}$,

P1 component can then prioritize the following display:

A4 consistently than any other element, is rather low; A3 to the A1 and A2, is rather low. A1 and A2 elements in P1, Mqas·h are not applicable because the O1, A1 > A2, and O2, A2 > A1 is. [6]

Step 4- Ranking of options

The results of four models offer:

معیار انتخاب بهترین رفرنسها بر اساس تکنیکهای پیردا و کپلند 21 رتبه بندی 4. نگاره

عوامل	معیارها	پیردا	کپ لند
قابلیت ها و امکانات عمومی سیستم	C1	۱	۱
	C2	۷	۵
	C3	۳	۶
مدیریت سیستم	C4	۱۱	۱۰
	C5	۵	۳
	C6	۷	۴
	C7	۱۴	۱۵
	C8	۱۲	۷
لیست امکانات کار تابل	C9	۲	۲
	C10	۱۳	۱۷
	C11	۱۰	۸
قابلیت ویرایش در Editor	C12	۲۱	۲۱
	C13	۱۵	۱۹
	C14	۱۶	۱۴
	C15	۱۹	۱۶
	C16	۱۷	۱۸
	C17	۲۰	۲۰
	C18	۱۸	۱۳
ارسال فرمانطقه ای	C19	۹	۹
	C20	۴	۱۱
	C21	۷	۱۲



After the ranking criteria by the two methods was obtained Breda and CapLand, turn to the results of these two methods are recombined together and the criteria to obtain a single ranking, the techniques, methods of integration called. To merge the two methods of rating achieved for each criterion we mean that the average results are showing graphs 5 and draw attention to the integration of the hierarchical tree of the criteria explains

رتبه‌بندی معیارها بر اساس روش‌های 5. نگاره

ردیف	معیارها	رتبه
۱	C1	۱
۲	C2	۶
۳	C3	۳
۴	C4	۱۲
۵	C5	۴
۶	C6	۵
۷	C7	۱۳
۸	C8	۱۱
۹	C9	۲
۱۰	C10	۱۴
۱۱	C11	۹
۱۲	C12	۲۱
۱۳	C13	۱۶
۱۴	C14	۱۵
۱۵	C15	۱۹
۱۶	C16	۱۸
۱۷	C17	۲۰
۱۸	C18	۱۷
۱۹	C19	۱۰
۲۰	C20	۶
۲۱	C21	۸

Using the results of the integration method we have of four rankings based on their importance, so that the rating criteria for each of the factors influencing the choice of five-fold and have the best software out of the priorities of the results of were:

As in painting (6) we see, the operating system features and public facilities or the most important priority in the next class of systems management, facilities list, Dashboards, editable in the Editor, scanning and archiving

Located and operating in an environment that is significantly less important.

رتبه‌بندی معیارها بر اساس روش‌های 6. نگاره

عوامل	معیارها	رتبه معیار	میانگین رتبه زیر معیارها	رتبه عوامل
قابلیت‌ها و امکانات عمومی سیستم	C1	۱	۳۱۵	۱
	C2	۶		
	C3	۳		
قابلیت سیستم	C4	۱۲	۹۱۲	۴
	C5	۳۱۵		
	C6	۶		
	C7	۱۳۵		
لیست امکانات کارزارها	C8	۱۱	۸۳۳	۳
	C9	۲		
	C10	۱۳۵		
قابلیت گزارش در Editor	C11	۹	۱۸	۵
	C12	۲۱		
	C13	۱۶۵		
	C14	۱۵		
	C15	۱۹		
	C16	۱۸		
ارسال فراموشه ای	C17	۲۰	۳۱۵	۲
	C18	۱۷		
	C19	۱۰		
	C20	۶		
	C21	۸		

Conclusions and recommendations

Office automation, the best tool to achieve a good work in order to save time and optimum use of time in the organization is. Mechanized solutions to the flow of correspondence, curing, and also provides work flow management. In this process, eliminating paper correspondence, conservation and optimum use of time, be practical in this paper, the results indicate that the ability of public order management systems, facilities list, Dashboards, editable in the Editor, scanning and Archive at the office automation software is important.

Select suitable software, office automation and dynamic management is always one of the major challenges. In this paper attempts to use the written sources are the prime components of office automation software and then during are view and rating Qrargyrndfield research. According to the organizations different goals, which intends to achieve the objectives in the field should produce good software five elements above the fold should be considered.

