

**THE EFFECT OF SWOT ANALYSIS ON PROJECT
MANAGEMENT IN THE NIGERIAN CONSTRUCTION
INDUSTRY**

DR. ONODUGO VINCENT*

ONODUGO IFEANYI CHRIS**

DR BENJAMIN A. AMUJIRI

ASOGWA, S. N.***

ANYADIKE, NKECHI**

Abstract

This analysis shows strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats (SWOT) from both the investor's and the contractor's point of view in the planning, contracting, and construction phases of a project. With the current market economy, and when private interests provide significant financing, construction within time and budget limits is very important. To identify potential risks for both the investor and the contractor, it is desirable to conduct a SWOT analysis. A decision maker must then decide which risks are worthwhile in order to realize the expected investment benefits

* DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT, UNIVERSITY OF NIGERIA ENUGU CAMPUS

** DEPT OF PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT, UNIVERSITY OF NIGERIA NSUKKA

*** DEPT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF NIGERIA NSUKKA

INTRODUCTION

In order to achieve their strategic goals, organizations define and run projects. This firstly will be performed by developing general goals of organization based on strategy, then by defining programs and finally planning the projects. However these projects with strategic objectives are more consistent and have greater efficiency. It leads to better and faster achievements and provide organizational goals.

Project Management (PM) processes have witnessed a lot of improvements and major changes. The major developments in the field of PM have occurred in exchanging traditional attitudes in the PM for a modern attitude. Lack of resources, magnitude and complexity of project organization, increases in project size, the formation of large companies for large projects, and the unique nature of these projects have been among the reasons for employing new methods for project management. For instance, study results has shown 30 percent of all projects have been stopped halfway and more than half of them have used 190 percent of the approved budget or/and their completion time has faced with 220 percent delay [Thomas, J Delisle, C. Jugdev, K. And Buckle, P. (2002)]. So today one of the most important competitive advantages of organizations is efficiency in PM.

To improve this competitive advantage, one of the requirements is that all organizations audit their projects and their strengths and weaknesses. They should undertake initiatives to implement quality, as soon as possible to compensate for their deficiencies. This will be used against falling behind global competition. According to Gareis, R. (2000), considering projects as a temporary organization, in the initial stage, intensity of each project is required to design their own organization.

These developments have yielded a better success rate in relation to construction projects compared to other projects.

According to Bonacorsi (2009:) S.W.O.T analysis is used to highlight areas of the project that could be maximized to the benefit of the whole project or individual areas where some competitive advantage may be gained. It is used to evaluate particular activities of the project in order to optimize their potential as well as to evaluate risks in order to determine the most appropriate way of mitigating those risks. S.W.O.T analysis is usually done in 2x2 matrixes where Strength,

Weakness, Opportunity and Threat are established in 2x2 charts. Here may be specific questions are prepared.

An analysis of strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats (SWOT) implies an analysis of the internal possibilities (strengths and weaknesses) of a project and its external environment (opportunities and threats that might be faced during project management). Since risk is present in all aspects of our lives, risk analysis and consideration, as well as risk management, is a universal activity based on common sense, relevant cognition, experience, and application of appropriate procedures. We can understand risk management as a need to recognize and eliminate (or at least reduce) uncertainty using control activities. Information about the project environment, together with knowledge of the project's possibilities, enables a team to determine the critical success factors that will satisfy the demands of end product buyers. The analysis, as a rule, includes the team (firm) managing the construction. Using the analysis, the so-called strategic holes should be discovered, and a clear plan for filling them in should be adopted (Hepworth 1998).

It is important to continually evaluate the factors that influence the project's goals—for example, construction time limits, prearranged costs, and quality standards—so they can be addressed by the contractor or by the project manager. After defining the goals, internal and external possibilities for realizing the goals need to be identified, as well as obstacles to realizing those goals. To do this, we identify and then analyze strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats, and if needed, we respond to them. We also extract those critical success factors that have an impact on achieving the goals. Critical success factors can be complex and can include organization, management, and synchronization of construction. The external domain for critical success factors can be found in the interrelation of the physical environment and building site conditions, and the investor, supplier, customs agents, government, local government, or local community. It is noteworthy that external critical success factors represent a large challenge because they are difficult to control. Using the brainstorming method, a team should be able to identify 10 internal and external domains for critical success factors and then extract several of the most important and stick to them. Finally, we analyze strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats and identify ways to eliminate the weaknesses and threats (Eccles and Pyburn 1992).

During project management, new strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats can crystallize, and the team must continually recognize them and enter them into the SWOT

analysis. The team also evaluates which of the new elements are critical success factors. Then we begin to determine the differences between the current and ideal critical success factor level. For strengths and opportunities, it is possible to fall short of the ideal conditions because that difference will represent a potential for improvement in meeting the goal (e.g., accelerating the schedule to meet deadlines). This difference is subjectively evaluated by the team, adopting, first of all, a measuring scale. When it comes to weaknesses and threats, it is obvious that they need to be eliminated to the greatest possible extent. Noticing these differences between current and ideal levels of critical success factors helps the other people responsible for the project—for example, the investor and the suppliers on whom the elimination of the weaknesses partly depends.

After determining the differences—that is, the deviation from optimal critical success factor levels—there are three options: (1) accept the difference, (2) reduce the difference somewhat, or (3) reduce the difference significantly. If the elimination of a small difference would take too much of the team's time, or if there is a doubt that in spite of the invested labour, the difference will remain, leaving the difference at the current level is reasonable. The strategies for reducing and eliminating the differences can be immediate concrete steps and activities, or they can be long-term activities that could last the whole project. The need for those activities can be addressed in further planning (Bourne et al. 2000).

LITERATURE REVIEW

According to Nagarajan (2010), the term 'project' has a wider meaning. A project is accomplished by performing a set of activities. For example, construction of house is a project. The construction of a house consists of many activities like digging of the foundation pits, construction of the foundation, construction of the walls etc. The construction is accomplished by performing the set of activities. Another aspect of 'project' is the non-routine nature of each activities. Each project is unique and non-routine. A project consumes resources and the resources required for completing a project are men, material, money and time. Project management on the other hand is defined as a series of management discipline and methods that if utilized properly during a project, will raise the probability of delivering good results (Glaser, J. 2004). PM is a way for the appropriate use of human work force, machinery and money in order to reach the final goal minus any errors within the first run. PM literature suggests that a

senior manager's support is a critical mission and that its effectiveness presence is influential in the success of the project (Glaser, J. 2004). It is essential to notice that PM is the only way that can ensure us of performing projects on time. PM methods should focus simultaneously on people, procedures and technology, and concentrations ought to be changed from PM to project leadership [Young R. and Jordan, E. 2008]. Shenhar and Dvir (2007) have expressed that the PM differently depending on the project has been done.

SWOT ANALYSIS IN PROJECT MANAGEMENT

According to Michelli Symonds (2009) SWOT which is an acronym for strength, weaknesses, opportunities and threats is not purely a method used for controlling areas of planning and risk, but it is also used to highlight areas of the project that could be maximized to the benefit of the whole project or individual areas where some competitive advantage may be gained. It is used to evaluate particular activities of the project in order to optimize their potential as well as to evaluate risks in order to determine the most appropriate way of mitigating those risks. This assertion is correct in the developed economies, not in some developing economies, where construction projects are awarded based on party affiliation and contributions made during electioneering campaign. In such case, the issue of risk evaluation in project management does not apply, because the purpose of the project is for political settlement.

SWOT analysis is normally performed during the initial project start-up phase so that the elements of the analysis can form the basis of the project plan, but it can also be used later in the project if the project is running into difficulties with scheduling, deliverables or budget and needs to be brought back on track.

For example, if a certain key activity in the project requires new software, a SWOT analysis can be used to assess the risks and the opportunities of purchasing the software and training staff in its use in order to help with the resource planning.

Michelle Symonds(2009) indicates that a SWOT analysis session should always have a clear objective and it is the project manager's responsibility to communicate that objective to all those present at the session. A typical session will include stakeholders, where possible, and key members of the project team. If the analysis is being performed at the start of a project, the objective is likely to be fully identifying all required activities and potential risks so that a proper plan can be put in place. However, if it is being conducted during an ongoing project, the

objective may be to re-asses the budget or schedule. Because the objective of a SWOT analysis can vary so too can the questions used to elicit the required information. For this reason, there is no standard set of pre-defined questions that will meet every situation but every session must have a set of question written down before the session to ensure the attendees remain focused. This again, is the project manager's responsibility. Depending on the urgency of completing the analysis and starting the project planning phase, it may be necessary to send out the questions in advance to allow attendees the opportunity of gathering any relevant information in advance.

Typical question for each part of the SWOT are listed below:

SWOT ANALYSIS AND PROJECT MANAGEMENT IN CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY

Strengths from the Contractor's Point of View

Advanced Project Management

While working on this project, we used an advanced system of project management with direct communication between the construction site and the head office of the contractor's firm. On this project, the contractor had the opportunity to hire experienced engineers and foremen and made excellent use of all employees on the project.

Workforce Stimulation

On this project, workers' wages were increased and equalized with respect to earlier projects. The wages of the high-ranking workers (craftsmen and specialized workers) were 370 dinars per hour (US\$1=70 Serbian dinars), and the wages of the low-ranking workers were about 300 dinars per hour. During the hard summer months, the contractor offered a bonus to the best craftsmen and all participants in the project who had higher-level responsibilities.

Knowledge of the Subcontractor

On previous projects, the contractor had done business with many subcontracting firms and had established good relations with them. When the contractor knows what to expect of the subcontractors, it makes project planning go much easier.

Modern Technology

Modern materials and work automation have contributed to rapid advancements in construction. This is a strength of the contractor and was reflected in the effective and efficient organization on the Azzaro project.

Use of Modern Machinery

The contractor rented modern machinery for use while managing the construction of the building.

Use of PERI Trio Prefabricated Formwork

Trio formwork enables rapid progress during construction. Apart from that, the reasons to choose the Trio system were exploitation load, minimal working time on a site, and minimal labor expenses. The principal considerations were the agreed-upon quality of work and the schedule.

Skillful Work Team

This was an additional contractor strength. It was specified that workers who had already worked on the construction of residential and business projects of similar size and similar heights would participate in the construction of this building.

Good Relationship with Material Suppliers

This contractor had been cooperating with the same material suppliers and the same concrete factory for a long time, so he was familiar with their working methods, and the risk factors regarding shipment delays and other problems were significantly reduced.

Weaknesses from the Contractor's Point of View

Inexperienced Workers

The workers may need some time to adopt a method for working with the PERI Trio formwork. This, in turn, could influence the scheduled deadlines. The workers need to be trained at the beginning of the project and then supervised and assisted as needed.

Resistance to New Methods of Working

Some senior and more experienced workers may find it difficult to adopt new techniques and strategies. To help the workforce accept modern technology, offer training and, if possible, monetary bonuses for meeting deadlines. Supervisors should demonstrate patience and respect during the learning period.

Lack of Coordination

This weakness means that a problem related to coordinating the workers can arise within the organization. The use of a modern formwork system and new materials positively influences coordination among workers.

Possibility of Injury at Work

Because the workers are not accustomed to working with new construction technology, there is an increased possibility of injury at work.

Undeveloped Relationship with the Subcontractor

Unlike the concrete manufacturer, the contractor worked with new vendors for both the locksmith and plastic work. Signed contracts should stipulate high penalties for missed deadlines.

Opportunities from the Contractor's Point of View

Favorable Contract Terms

Contract terms should realistically allow the contractor to finish the project within the anticipated time limit. It is very important for the contractor to study the contract and carefully examine his responsibilities, as well as the investor's rights and responsibilities. Only with the knowledge of these rights and responsibilities, as well as the risk he is undertaking, can the contractor set prices and schedules. The contractor produces the budget for the specific project when he gets a formal inquiry from the investor. Replying to inquiries entails considerable expense, which only the investor bears the risk for. A thorough evaluation of expenses should result in a competitive price (estimated expenses plus profit), which is usually an excellent criterion for obtaining the job and for the contractor's success on the project. Underestimated expenses always result in business losses, while overestimated expenses can be a cause for losing the business (Lee and Ko 2000).

Reliable Investor

Former cooperative and positive experiences with a specific investor represent another very favourable circumstance and an opportunity to respect any deadlines for the estimate.

The Possibility of Working on Future Projects

When the contractor wins the investor's trust, he will be more likely to partner on new projects in the future.

Favourable Financing Arrangements

The contractor needs to receive sufficient advance funding to do all necessary and planned activities until the next agreed-upon payment. Mutually agreed-upon financial arrangements represent an opportunity for a favourable project outcome.

Possibility of Developing a Well-Coordinated Project Team and Project Management Strategy for Future Projects

The contractor has an opportunity to put together a functional and coordinated project team for managing the project and to implement a standardized project management system.

Threats from the Contractor's Point of View

High Level of Groundwater

This threat originates from the beginning of construction in the period of concreting the bed plate.

Small Area for Storage and Manipulation on the Construction Site

The large gross area of the buildings coupled with tenants' moving into the buildings brings the possibility of problems related to lack of space. The problem can be solved by using a neighbouring parcel of land without any reimbursement of expenses.

Supervisory Board with an Interest in the Project

A supervisory board (a group of individuals chosen by the stockholders of a company to promote their interests through the governance of the company and to hire and supervise the executive directors and CEO) for the construction cannot be objective, because it has a conflict of interest in the project. This often happens among the participants of a project in Serbia.

Repeated Changes in Allocation of Space

The investor's repeated changes in the allocation of space inside the building present difficulties for the contractor, who must deal with frequent improvisation and changes to the plan

SWOT ANALYSIS FROM THE INVESTOR'S POINT OF VIEW

From the moment he decides to undertake construction, the investor is in suspense regarding the final outcome. A construction project lasts for a specific period of time; however, the benefits from the investments are realized in the future, so a decision maker should decide what risk he is willing to take in order to see the expected return on investment.

When evaluating a project, investment decisions depend on the decision maker's attitude toward the risk. As a rule, most investors accept a project that is likely to succeed because they have a natural aversion toward risk. However, there is always an element of risk about the project outcome, and the decision maker has to determine how much risk he is willing to take.

When he sets priorities among the project goals, the investor has to consider carefully the risks he can be exposed to during construction, and he has to predict all the consequences of those risks. If the investor is not qualified to consider the potential risks, he can hire specialized consultants to help with the risk assessment and develop a plan to minimize any risk.

During the Azzaro project, all of the investor's desires, the communication problems that occurred, and the conflict of interests between the investor and contractor were noted. All the key influences that increased the expenses and prolonged some activities on the project were

documented. After a subjective evaluation, the following strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats can be distinguished

Strengths from the Investor's Point of View

Good Selection of Contractor

The investor found a contractor who satisfied the criteria. This is an important strength that allows future projects with the investor and creates confidence in the contractor's ability to direct the suppliers. If the purchaser selects a bad contractor or vice versa, or if both sides accept bad contract terms, both can have serious problems, which can require more resources to solve than the risk analysis itself. Selection of the contractor who offered the lowest bid does not guarantee the lowest final expenses. A contractor will always be tempted to lower the prices and profit in order to beat the competition and get the job. Taking into account the difficulties they have in realizing a profit, these contractors will try to find other ways to make a profit, such as working with poor quality materials or constantly setting new conditions—a frequent practice in the construction industry. An irresponsible contractor can cause construction delays and substituting cheap and poor quality materials can affect building quality, which, together with constant new demands, increase construction expenses.

Successful Acquisition of Project Documentation and Building Permits

This is a strength the investor has because of good business contacts.

Market Demand Related to Building in New Belgrade

Although the investor conducted an evaluation before investing, real estate prices increased during the construction.

Contractor and Subcontractor Willingness to Agree with Frequent Project Changes

In many cases, the contractor and subcontractors have been willing to oblige the investor and carry out changes in the project without any specific compensation.

Possession of Cash

The investor did not take any kind of credit and did not obtain any kind of loan.

Weaknesses from the Investor's Point of View

Absence of Determined Buyers

Although buyers of the end product should not have any direct connection with construction, they occupy a special place because of the influence they have on the investor. Because of their changes to space allocation on the premises, the project experiences a series of problems.

Lack of Protection of the Investor's Interests by the Supervisory Board

This is a consequence regarding the supervisory board; the engineer attempted to justify some positions by protecting his work and made an objective report to the investor impossible.

Inability to Use the Desired Materials

During the project, the investor wanted to use some materials with good aesthetics but weaker fire-fighting characteristics, which was against safety regulations.

Contractor's Unwillingness to Make Big Changes

The contractor could not oblige the investor all of the time because changes to the plans resulted in a lot of unexpected work. The contractor was not willing to accept the changing work dynamics and was unable to solve some of the problems resulting from plan changes.

Opportunities from the Investor's Point of View

Opportunity to Win the Contractor over for Future Projects

The investor creates an opportunity to work on another similar project with the contractor.

Favourable Contract Terms

The terms of the contract determine a safe investment. The first thing the investor has to do is the risk analysis in order to give the same information to all participants in the project. For example, the contractor should not bear risks that cannot be quantified with certainty. Expenses should be related to the things that can be anticipated. If the investor is worried about who will bear the risks, then he should do the necessary analyses and, on the basis of those analyses, ask for a bid from a contractor. The more details he offers, the lower the risk in the contractor's bid. If the investor wants to transfer as much risk as possible to the contractor, he chooses a "lump sum contract" because most contracts of this type include restitution that equals a total lump sum. It often happens that one combines several different contract strategies for the construction of a single project. By applying several contract strategies, the investor gets more time to decide about and more precisely define his demands without delaying the beginning of construction. The investor often consults existing contracts and adapts them to create "hybrid contracts."

Good Expense Control

The investor's consultants should make it possible for the investor to monitor the project and communicate with the builder so that he gets information about the expected time necessary for finishing the project.

Good Communication with All Participants in the Project

This is another product of cooperation with the builder, which facilitates access to all the things that happen on the construction site.

Threats from the Investor's Point of View

Schedule Delays

Delays occurred on the Azzaro project because the locksmith's work dragged on and was directly related to reallocating space in the building. The schedule directly affects the increase of expenses and reduction in profit for the investor. The aim of the risk analysis regarding the construction schedule is to recognize all of the important influences that can affect the optimal time for construction, as well as the certainty regarding the construction deadline. The analysis includes factors that can cause delays, as well as the consequences of changing the conditions for the project outcome. Some of the principal risks are environmental conditions, contractor negligence (organizational disturbances, bad planning, negligence in purchasing supplies and materials, unsynchronized work, negligence in communication), incidents related to a higher force, investor negligence (payment not made on time, nonfulfillment of other contract responsibilities), additional demands and project changes, and incomplete and imprecise project solutions. Evaluation of expenses in construction depends on the complete project schedule, which is subject to the dynamics of scheduling various types of work. At the same time, it is sometimes important to eliminate parallel work as much as possible.

Reduced Construction Quality

This is a direct threat for the investor who, because of project delays and constant changes, can find himself not being able to sell units in the building to desired buyers. The risk analysis regarding quality needs to look at everything that could affect the quality or safety of materials and processes in each stage of construction. Quality standards are defined through the technical specifications, which define the material quality level, quality of work, and the overall building. Specific quality demands determine the schedule and cost of the building's completion. Most frequently, the risk analysis means an analysis with respect to the investor's demands, which have to be documented and understandable; technical documentation, which has to be defined;

the possibility of purchasing materials needed for the project; changes in projected technology and construction dynamics; timely financial monitoring; and mutual profitable and honest interactions between the contractor and the investor, which make the continuity of quality construction possible.

Deviation in time and expenses can be addressed during construction; quality faults are incorrigible. Because of that, at the beginning the optimization goals have to be strictly and clearly defined and then examined and the criteria should undergo changes as needed.

SWOT analysis for big projects can require extensive work from the whole team and could take hours. For smaller projects, the initial SWOT analysis can last only 10 to 15 min, but the project manager should incorporate constant reflection about the possibilities and project's environment into his analyses. Although the initial SWOT analysis can take valuable time and look overwhelming, the advantages lie in discovering a project's strengths and weaknesses before starting the detailed planning. In this way, the team immediately focuses on strategic weaknesses and threats and so prepares alternative scenarios and solutions. The investor has to recognize how much the contractor's estimate is based on goodwill in accepting the risks. A useful strategy for cost transparency requires that the expected expenses be divided into project expenses and risk expenses. The accompanying documentation should also show the contractor's risk perception.

Strengths from the Investor's Point of View

Good Selection of Contractor

The investor found a contractor who satisfied the criteria. This is an important strength that allows future projects with the investor and creates confidence in the contractor's ability to direct the suppliers. If the purchaser selects a bad contractor or vice versa, or if both sides accept bad contract terms, both can have serious problems, which can require more resources to solve than the risk analysis itself. Selection of the contractor who offered the lowest bid does not guarantee the lowest final expenses. A contractor will always be tempted to lower the prices and profit in order to beat the competition and get the job. Taking into account the difficulties they have in realizing a profit, these contractors will try to find other ways to make a profit, such as working with poor quality materials or constantly setting new conditions—a frequent practice in the construction industry. An irresponsible contractor can cause construction delays and substituting

cheap and poor quality materials can affect building quality, which, together with constant new demands, increases construction expenses.

Successful Acquisition of Project Documentation and Building Permits

This is a strength the investor has because of good business contacts.

Market Demand Related to Building in New Belgrade

Although the investor conducted an evaluation before investing, real estate prices increased during the construction.

Contractor and Subcontractor Willingness to Agree with Frequent Project Changes

In many cases, the contractor and subcontractors have been willing to oblige the investor and carry out changes in the project without any specific compensation.

Possession of Cash

The investor did not take any kind of credit and did not obtain any kind of loan.

Weaknesses from the Investor's Point of View

Absence of Determined Buyers

Although buyers of the end product should not have any direct connection with construction, they occupy a special place because of the influence they have on the investor. Because of their changes to space allocation on the premises, the project experiences a series of problems.

Lack of Protection of the Investor's Interests by the Supervisory Board

This is a consequence regarding the supervisory board; the engineer attempted to justify some positions by protecting his work and made an objective report to the investor impossible.

Inability to Use the Desired Materials

During the project, the investor wanted to use some materials with good aesthetics but weaker fire-fighting characteristics, which was against safety regulations.

Contractor's Unwillingness to Make Big Changes

The contractor could not oblige the investor all of the time because changes to the plans resulted in a lot of unexpected work. The contractor was not willing to accept the changing work dynamics and was unable to solve some of the problems resulting from plan changes.

Opportunities from the Investor's Point of View

Opportunity to Win the Contractor over for Future Projects

The investor creates an opportunity to work on another similar project with the contractor.

Favorable Contract Terms

The terms of the contract determine a safe investment. The first thing the investor has to do is the risk analysis in order to give the same information to all participants in the project. For example, the contractor should not bear risks that cannot be quantified with certainty. Expenses should be related to the things that can be anticipated. If the investor is worried about who will bear the risks, then he should do the necessary analyses and, on the basis of those analyses, ask for a bid from a contractor. The more details he offers, the lower the risk in the contractor's bid. If the investor wants to transfer as much risk as possible to the contractor, he chooses a "lump sum contract" because most contracts of this type include restitution that equals a total lump sum. It often happens that one combines several different contract strategies for the construction of a single project. By applying several contract strategies, the investor gets more time to decide about and more precisely define his demands without delaying the beginning of construction. The investor often consults existing contracts and adapts them to create "hybrid contracts."

Good Expense Control

The investor's consultants should make it possible for the investor to monitor the project and communicate with the builder so that he gets information about the expected time necessary for finishing the project.

Good Communication with All Participants in the Project

This is another product of cooperation with the builder, which facilitates access to all the things that happen on the construction site.

Threats from the Investor's Point of View

Schedule Delays

Delays occurred on the Azzaro project because the locksmith's work dragged on and was directly related to reallocating space in the building. The schedule directly affects the increase of expenses and reduction in profit for the investor. The aim of the risk analysis regarding the construction schedule is to recognize all of the important influences that can affect the optimal time for construction, as well as the certainty regarding the construction deadline. The analysis includes factors that can cause delays, as well as the consequences of changing the conditions for the project outcome. Some of the principal risks are environmental conditions, contractor negligence (organizational disturbances, bad planning, negligence in purchasing supplies and materials, unsynchronized work, negligence in communication), incidents related to a higher force, investor negligence (payment not made on time, non fulfilment of other contract

responsibilities), additional demands and project changes, and incomplete and imprecise project solutions. Evaluation of expenses in construction depends on the complete project schedule, which is subject to the dynamics of scheduling various types of work. At the same time, it is sometimes important to eliminate parallel work as much as possible.

Reduced Construction Quality

This is a direct threat for the investor who, because of project delays and constant changes, can find himself not being able to sell units in the building to desired buyers. The risk analysis regarding quality needs to look at everything that could affect the quality or safety of materials and processes in each stage of construction. Quality standards are defined through the technical specifications, which define the material quality level, quality of work, and the overall building. Specific quality demands determine the schedule and cost of the building's completion. Most frequently, the risk analysis means an analysis with respect to the investor's demands, which have to be documented and understandable; technical documentation, which has to be defined; the possibility of purchasing materials needed for the project; changes in projected technology and construction dynamics; timely financial monitoring; and mutual profitable and honest interactions between the contractor and the investor, which make the continuity of quality construction possible.

Deviation in time and expenses can be addressed during construction; quality faults are incorrigible. Because of that, at the beginning the optimization goals have to be strictly and clearly defined and then examined and the criteria should undergo changes as needed.

SWOT analysis for big projects can require extensive work from the whole team and could take hours. For smaller projects, the initial SWOT analysis can last only 10 to 15min, but the project manager should incorporate constant reflection about the possibilities and project's environment into his analyses. Although the initial SWOT analysis can take valuable time and look overwhelming, the advantages lie in discovering a project's strengths and weaknesses before starting the detailed planning. In this way, the team immediately focuses on strategic weaknesses and threats and so prepares alternative scenarios and solutions. The investor has to recognize how much the contractor's estimate is based on goodwill in accepting the risks. A useful strategy for cost transparency requires that the expected expenses be divided into project expenses and risk expenses. The accompanying documentation should also show the contractor's risk perception.

The Application of SWOT Analysis by the Construction Companies

Construction is an industry in which uncertainty is more common than in most others. Uncertainty includes situations in which there are no historical records or references describing the situation that is being analyzed. Completely certain situations in construction are rare. Aside from the possible bad influences of the environment on construction, the uniqueness of each project explains such uncertainty. With the conditions of market economy, and when private financiers significantly participate in project financing, keeping construction on schedule and within budget is very important. In the western European countries, it takes a lot of time to plan and little time to construct a project. In Serbia, we do it the other way around. This phenomenon is characteristic of a long period of socialism and making “dictatorial” decisions, very often without a rational reason. Project failure often relates to not paying enough attention to problems that occur on the majority of projects. If we could predict the problems and thus avoid them, managing expenses, schedule, and quality would be easier. For very large, complex, or new projects, there is a great possibility that they will be submitted to high risk levels. It may seem that the risk price is high, but the price for not handling the risk is even higher.

SWOT analysis should first be carried out at the company level. Every construction company in Serbia can do a SWOT analysis and use it to define its position with respect to competitive companies and to recognize its strengths in business dealings, as well as the risks of further development. All well-known construction companies in Europe (e.g., DPR Construction, Inc., Hensel Phelps Construction Co., Ames Construction, Inc., Parsons Brinckerhoff) make their SWOT analyses available through the Internet and use them as a marketing tool. In our domestic market, no company, except for the firm Komgrap, has tried to promote itself in this way. Using SWOT analyses, construction firms can determine their goals for further development, predict the future of the company, recognize the risks of their business dealings, and recognize their strengths over the competition.

SWOT analysis is also suitable for the planning and contracting phases. Sometimes, an experienced contractor can, before signing the contract, influence its form and content, especially if he has properly investigated the market and has done a large number of favors for the investor during the concept phase of the project. Taking into account that the one who finances the

project is the one who decides, the investor still has the greatest influence on the text of the contract, and potential investors need to become familiar with contracts as much as possible

CONCLUSION

SWOT analysis represents conscious, deliberate and systematic efforts by an organisation to identify opportunities that can be profitably exploited by it. Mutual risk identification and SWOT analyses by both investor and contractor are desirable so that both sides can be informed about the risks. The investor has to be ready to make a distinction between good and bad quality and honesty. Bad quality and dishonesty can displace good quality and an honest approach. The investor can approach this problem by demanding transparent risk prices in estimates or by demanding that estimates include plans for handling the risk. This way, the differences between honest and dishonest estimates can be seen. In practice, bidders with experience in handling risk can show goodwill toward bearing the risk and give lower prices than the competition, which increases their strength in the marketplace.

REFERENCES

- Agile construction intuitive, University of Bath, Publication.
http://www.bath.ac.uk/management/larg_agile/publications/pdf/public/96.014.300.pdf
- Bonacorsi.S. (2009), “Steps involved in Performing SWOT Analysis”, A Journal Published by Ezine Articles.
- Bourne, M. , Mills, J. , Wilcox, M. , Neely, A. , and Platts, K. (2000). “Designing, implementing and updating performance measurement systems.” *Int. J. Oper. Prod. Manage.*, **20** , 754–771.
- Eccles, R. G. , and Pyburn, P. J. (1992). “Creating a comprehensive system to measure performance.” *Manage. Accounting* , **71** (4) , 41–44.
- Gareis, R. (2000). *Managing the Project Start*, Gower Handbook of PM, Gower.
- Glaser, J. (2004). “Back to basics managing IT projects,” *Healthcare Financial Management*, vol. 58, pp. 34–38.

Jha K. N. and Iyer, K. C. (2008) "Critical factors affecting quality performance in construction projects," *Total Quality Management*, vol. 17, pp. 1155–1170.

Hepworth, P. (1998). "Weighing it up: A literature review for the balanced scorecard." *J. Manage. Dev.* , **17** , 559–563.

Lee, S. F. , and Ko, A. S. O. (2000). "Building balanced scorecard with SWOT analysis, and implementing 'Sun Tze's The Art of Business Management Strategies' on QFD methodology." *Managerial Auditing J.* , **15 (1/2)** , 68–76.

Milosevic, I. (2010). "Application of the risk analysis software package Pertmaster when managing a construction project." *Int. J. Applied Eng. Res.* , in press.

Nagarajan, k (2010), " Project Management, Project Appraisals, Project Entrepreneurs" Academics case studies 5th Edition; New Age international Publishers.

Nidiffer K. and Dolan, D. (2005) "Evolving Distributed PM," *IEEE Software*, Vol. 22, pp. 63 72,.

Shenhar A. J. and Dvir, D. (2007). *Reinventing PM*, Boston: Harvard Business School Press,

Scheid, J and McDonough, M (2010), *Related Guide to project Management*. Jaico PublishingHouse; Mumbai.

Strategy Consulting Limited.

<http://www.strategyconsultinglimited.co.uk/business-strategy/exploring-corporate-strategy-using-m-o-s-t-analysis/>

Thomas, J Delisle, C. Jugdev, K. and Buckle, P. (2002). "Selling Project Management to Senior Executives: The Case for Avoiding Crisis Sales," *Project Management Journal*, vol. 33, pp. 19-28.

Young R. and Jordan, E. (2008) "Top management support: Mantra or necessity?," *International Journal of Project Management*, vol. 26, pp. 713–725.