

**EXISTENCE OF BOUNDED NONOSCILLATORY
SOLUTIONS OF CERTAIN NONLINEAR NEUTRAL
DELAY DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS OF SECOND
ORDER**

K.V.V.SESHAGIRI RAO*

T.GOPAL RAO**

ABSTRACT

Non oscillation of a class of nonlinear neutral delay difference equations with positive and negative coefficients of the form

$$\Delta^2[y(n) + p(n)y(n-m)] + f_1(n)G_1(y(n-k_1)) - f_2(n)G_2(y(n-k_2)) = f(n) \quad (E)$$

is studied. We obtain the sufficient conditions for the existence of a non-oscillatory solutions of (E) under the assumption

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_i(n) < \infty, \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2$$

for ranges of $p(n) = 1, p(n) = -1, 1 < p(n) < \infty$ & $-\infty < p(n) < -1$.

Mathematics Subject classification (2000): 39A10, 39A12.

Keywords: Oscillation, Non-oscillation, Neutral Difference Equation.

* Department of Mathematics & Humanities, Kakatiya Institute of Technology and Science, Warangal, Andhra Pradesh, India.

** Department of Humanities & Science, Warangal Institute of Technology and Science, Warangal, Andhra Pradesh, India.

1. INTRODUCTION:

In this paper we study non oscillation of a class of neutral delay difference equation with positive and negative coefficients of the form

$$\Delta^2[y(n) + p(n)y(n-m)] + f_1(n)G_1(y(n-k_1)) - f_2(n)G_2(y(n-k_2)) = f(n). \quad (1)$$

where $p(n), f_1(n), f_2(n)$ are real valued functions defined on $N(n_0) = \{n_0, n_0 + 1, n_0 + 2, n_0 + 3, \dots\}$, $n_0 \geq 0$ such that $f_1(n) \geq 0, f_2(n) \geq 0$, G_1, G_2 are continuous real valued functions. G_1 and G_2 are non decreasing and $xG_i(x) > 0$ for $i=1, 2$, $x \neq 0, n > 0$ and $k_1, k_2, m \geq 0$ are integers, Δ is forward difference operator defined by equation, $\Delta x(n) = x(n+1) - x(n)$.

Qualitative behavior of solution of differential equations / difference equations is a subject to investigators. We refer to [3], and the work of [2], [1] and the references therein. The corresponding differential equation to the difference equation (1) can be written as

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}[y(t) + p(t)y(t-\tau)] + f_1(t)G_1(y(t-\sigma_1)) - f_2(t)G_2(y(t-\sigma_2)) = f(t). \quad (2)$$

It is to remark that this equation when $f_2(t) \equiv 0, p(t) \equiv 0$ becomes a second order delay differential equation and we find numerous results regarding the solutions of this equations. Several researchers discussed non oscillation and asymptotic behavior of solution of delay and neutral difference equations of second order. A close observation reveals that the study of difference equation is more or less similar to that of a differential equation. (See [4], [6], [8], [9] and [9]). In this recent paper [8,9]. Parhi and Tripathy discussed oscillation and asymptotic behavior of solution of the equation

$$\Delta[y(n) - y(n-m)] + f_1(n)G_1(y(n-k_1)) = 0, \quad (3)$$

when $f_1(n) < 0$ or when $f_1(n) > 0$ under the condition

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_1(n) = \infty.$$

It is predicted that the oscillation properties are not restricted to the sign of f_1 . The motivation of the present work comes under two directions. Firstly due to the above prediction and next due to the work in [5].

Where the authors considered the linear neutral differential equation

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}(y(t) + py(t-\tau)) + f_1(t)y(t-\sigma_1) - f_2(t)y(t-\sigma_2) = f(n), \quad (4)$$

where $p \neq -1$ is constant. The discrete analogue of equation (4) is a particular case of our equation (1). When $f(n) = 0$ the existence of non oscillatory solutions was discussed in [11]. And when $0 < p(n) < 1, -1 < p(n) < 0, -1 < p(n) < 1$ the oscillatory solutions was discussed in [7]. In this present paper we discussed the non oscillation behavior of the solution of some more ranges of $p(n)$.

Let $\rho = \max\{n, k_1, k_2\}$ and n_0 be a fixed non negative integer.

By a solution of equation (1), we mean a real sequence $y(n)$ which is defined for all positive integers $n \geq n_0 - \rho$ and satisfies the equation (1) for $n \geq n_0$.

If the initial condition

$$y(n) = A_n \quad \text{for } n_0 - \rho \leq n \leq n_0, \quad (5)$$

are given, then equation (1) has a unique solution satisfying the initial condition (5).

As is customary, a solution of (1) is said to be oscillate if for every integer $N > 0$, there exists and $n \geq N$ such that $y(n)y(n+1) \leq 0$. Otherwise, the solution is called no oscillatory.

MAIN RESULT:

We need the following the hypotheses in our discussion:

$$(H_1) : G_i \in C(R, R), \quad G_i \text{ is non decreasing for } i = 1, 2.$$

$$(H_2) : xG_i(x) > 0 \quad \text{for } x \neq 0, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

$$(H_3) : G_i, \quad i = 1, 2 \text{ is Lipschitzian on the interval of the type } [a, b], \quad 0 < a < b < \infty.$$

$$(H_4) : \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_i(n) < \infty \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2.$$

Now we have the following main theorem.

THEOREM 2.1: Suppose $p(n) = -1$ and $(H_1) - (H_4)$ hold. If $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(n) < \infty$. Then there exists bounded non oscillatory solution of the equation (1).

Proof: Let $0 < b_1 < 1$ be such that $b_1 \neq \frac{1}{2}$.

From hypotheses, we can find N_1 sufficiently large such that

$$M_1 \sum_{n=N_1}^{\infty} f_1(n) < \frac{1-2b_1}{20},$$

$$M_2 \sum_{n=N_1}^{\infty} f_2(n) < \frac{1-2b_1}{10},$$

$$\sum_{n=N_1}^{\infty} f(n) < \frac{1-2b_1}{20},$$

where $M_1 = \max \{ L_1, G_1(b_1) \}$, $M_2 = \max \{ L_2, G_2(b_1) \}$ and L_1, L_2 are Lipschitz constants of G_1 and G_2 respectively on $\left[\frac{1-b_1}{20}, b_1 \right]$.

Let $X = l_{\infty}^{N_1}$ be the Banach space of all real valued functions $x(n)$, $n \geq N_1$ with supremum norm $\|x\| = \sup \{ |x(n)| : n \geq N_1 \}$.

Define

$$S = \left\{ x \in X : \frac{1-b_1}{20} \leq x(n) \leq b_1, n \geq N_1 \right\},$$

we see that S is a complete metric space with the metric induced by the norm on X .

For $y \in S$, define

$$Ty(n) = Ty(N_1 + \rho), \quad N_1 \leq n \leq N_1 + \rho$$

$$= y(n-m) + \frac{1-b_1}{10} - \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} f_1(s)G_1(y(s-k_1)) + \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} f_2(s)G_2(y(s-k_2)) + \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} f(s), \quad n \geq N_1 + \rho.$$

Clearly,

$$Ty(n) < b_1 + \frac{1-2b_1}{10} + \frac{1-b_1}{10} + \frac{1-2b_1}{20}$$

$$< \frac{5+12b_1}{20} < b_1,$$

and

$$Ty(n) \geq \frac{1-b_1}{10} - \frac{1-2b_1}{20}$$

$$= \frac{1}{20} > \frac{1-b_1}{20}.$$

This implies that $Ty \in S$ and therefore $T : S \rightarrow S$.

Also, for $x, y \in S$,

$$|Ty(n) - Tx(n)| \leq \|y - x\| + \frac{1-2b_1}{20} \|y - x\| + \frac{1-2b_1}{10} \|y - x\|$$

$$= \frac{23-6b_1}{20} \|y - x\|,$$

that is,

$$|Ty(n) - Tx(n)| < \frac{23-6b_1}{20} \|y - x\|.$$

Hence T is a contraction. By the contraction principle, T has a unique fixed point $y(n)$ in the interval $\left[\frac{1-b_1}{20}, b_1\right]$, which is therefore the required non oscillatory solution of the equation (1).

THEOREM 2.2: Suppose $p(n) = 1$. and $(H_1) - (H_4)$ hold. If $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(n) < \infty$. Then there exists abounded non oscillatory solution of the equation (1).

Proof: We can choose a possible a positive integer N_1 such that

$$M_1 \sum_{n=N_1}^{\infty} f_1(n) < \frac{1-b_1}{10},$$

$$M_2 \sum_{n=N_1}^{\infty} f_2(n) < \frac{1-b_1}{5},$$

$$\sum_{n=N_1}^{\infty} f(n) < \frac{1-b_1}{5},$$

where $M_1 = \max\{L_1, G_1(b_1)\}$, $M_2 = \max\{L_2, G_2(b_1)\}$ and L_1, L_2 , are Lipschitz constants of G_1 and G_2 on $\left[\frac{b_1-1}{10}, 1\right]$ respectively.

Let $X = l_{\infty}^{N_1}$ be the Banach space of all real valued functions $x(n)$, $n \geq N_1$ with supnorm

$$\|x\| = \sup\{|x(n)| : n \geq N_1\}$$

We define,

$$S = \left\{x \in X : \frac{b_1-1}{10} \leq x(n) \leq 1, n \geq N_1\right\}.$$

It is easy to see that S is a complete metric space, where the metric is induced by norm on X.

For $y \in S$, define

$$Ty(n) = Ty(N_1 + \rho), \quad N_1 \leq n \leq N_1 + \rho$$

$$= -p(n)y(n-m) + \frac{1+9b_1}{10} - \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} f_1(s)G_1(y(s-k_1))$$

$$+ \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} f_2(s)G_2(y(s-k_2)) + \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} f(s), \quad n \geq N_1 + \rho.$$

In view of hypotheses, we observe that

$$Ty(n) < \frac{1+9b_1}{10} + M_2 \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} f_2(s) + \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} f(s)$$

$$< \frac{1+9b_1}{10} + \frac{1-b_1}{10} + \frac{1-b_1}{5}$$

$$= \frac{2-3b_1}{5} < 1,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Ty(n) &> -b_1 + \frac{1+9b_1}{10} - M_1 \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} f_1(s) \\ &> -b_1 + \frac{1+9b_1}{10} - \frac{1-b_1}{5} = \frac{b_1-1}{10}, \quad \text{for } n \geq N_1 + \rho. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $Ty \in S$, that is $T : S \rightarrow S$.

Further for $x \in S$, consider

$$\begin{aligned} |Ty(n) - Tx(n)| &\leq b_1 \|y-x\| + \frac{1-b_1}{10} \|y-x\| + \frac{1-b_1}{5} \|y-x\| \\ &= \left(b_1 + \frac{1-b_1}{10} + \frac{2-2b_1}{5} \right) \|y-x\| \\ &= \frac{7b_1+3}{10} \|y-x\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $|Ty(n) - Tx(n)| \leq \frac{7b_1+3}{10} \|y-x\|$ for every $x, y \in S$.

Hence T is contraction. Consequently, T has a unique fixed point y in S. which is solution of equation (1) in the interval $\left[\frac{b_1-1}{10}, 1 \right]$ and is the bounded non oscillatory solution.

THEOREM 2.3: Suppose $1 < b_1 \leq p(n) \leq b_2 < \infty$ and $(H_1) - (H_4)$ hold. If $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(n) < \infty$. Then the equation (1) admits a non oscillatory and bounded solution.

Proof: Let $1 < b_1 \leq p(n) \leq b_2 < \infty$ be such that $b_1 > 0, b_2 > 0$ and $b_2 < 1+3b_1$. As earlier, from the hypotheses, it is possible to choose a positive integer N_1 large enough such that

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 \sum_{n=N_1}^{\infty} f_1(n) &< \frac{b_2-1}{4} - b_1, \\ M_2 \sum_{n=N_1}^{\infty} f_2(n) &< \frac{b_2-1}{4}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\sum_{n=N_1}^{\infty} f(n) < \frac{b_2-1}{4},$$

where M_1, M_2 and N_1 are same as in earlier case on the interval $\left[\frac{b_2-1}{2}, 1\right]$.

Let $X = l_{\infty}^{N_1}$ be the Banach space of all real valued functions $x(n)$, $n \geq N_1$ with supremum norm $\|x\| = \sup \{ |x(n)| : n \geq N_1 \}$.

$$\text{Let } S = \left\{ x \in X : \frac{b_2-1}{2} \leq x(n) \leq \infty, n \geq N_1 \right\}.$$

Define a mapping T as

$$\begin{aligned} Ty(n) &= Ty(N_1 + \rho), \quad N_1 \leq n \leq N_1 + \rho \\ &= -p(n)y(n-m) + \frac{3(b_2-1)}{4} - \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} f_1(s)G_1(y(s-k_1)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} f_2(s)G_2(y(s-k_2)) + \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} f(s), \quad n \geq N_1 + \rho. \end{aligned}$$

For $y \in S$ and $n \geq N_1 + \rho$,

$$\begin{aligned} Ty(n) &\leq -b_2 + \frac{3(b_2-1)}{4} + M_2 \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} f_2(s) + \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} f(s) \\ &< -b_2 + \frac{3(b_2-1)}{4} + \frac{b_2-1}{4} + \frac{b_2-1}{4} \\ &= \frac{b_2-5}{4} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Ty(n) &\geq -b_2 + \frac{3(b_2-1)}{4} - \left(\frac{b_2-1}{4} - b_1 \right) \\ &\geq \frac{b_2-1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $Ty \in S$, that is $T : S \rightarrow S$.

Further for $x \in S$, consider

$$\begin{aligned} |Ty(n) - Tx(n)| &\leq b_2 \|y - x\| + \left(\frac{b_2 - 1}{4} - b_1 \right) \|y - x\| + \frac{b_2 - 1}{4} \|y - x\| \\ &\leq \left(b_2 + \frac{b_2 - 1}{4} - b_1 + \frac{b_2 - 1}{4} \right) \|y - x\| \\ &\leq \left(\frac{6b_2 - 2}{4} - b_1 \right) \|y - x\| \\ &\leq \left(\frac{14b_1 + 4}{4} \right) \|y - x\| \end{aligned}$$

Thus $|Ty(n) - Tx(n)| \leq \frac{7b_1 + 2}{2} \|y - x\|$ for every $x, y \in S$.

Hence T is contraction. Consequently, T has a unique fixed point y in S. which is solution of equation (1) in the interval $\left[\frac{b_2 - 1}{2}, \infty \right)$; and is the bounded non oscillatory solution.

THEOREM 2.4: Suppose $-\infty < b_2 \leq p(n) \leq b_1 < -1$ and $(H_1) - (H_4)$ hold. If $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(n) < \infty$.

Then the equation (1) admits a non oscillatory and bounded solution.

Proof: Let $-\infty < b_2 \leq p(n) \leq b_1 < -1$ be such that $b_1 < 0, b_2 < 0$ and $b_1 < 1 + 3b_2$. As earlier, from the hypotheses, it is possible to choose a positive integer N_1 large enough such that

$$M_1 \sum_{n=N_1}^{\infty} f_1(n) < \frac{b_1 + 1}{4} - b_2,$$

$$M_2 \sum_{n=N_1}^{\infty} f_2(n) < \frac{b_1 + 1}{4},$$

$$\sum_{n=N_1}^{\infty} f(n) < \frac{b_1 + 1}{4},$$

where M_1, M_2 and N_1 are same as in earlier case on the interval $\left[\frac{b_1-1}{2}, 1\right]$.

Let $X = l_\infty^{N_1}$ be the Banach space of all real valued functions $x(n)$, $n \geq N_1$ with supremum norm

$$\|x\| = \sup \{ |x(n)| : n \geq N_1 \}$$

Let

$$S = \left\{ x \in X : \frac{b_2+1}{2} \leq x(n) \leq 1, n \geq N_1 \right\}.$$

Define a mapping T as

$$\begin{aligned} Ty(n) &= Ty(N_1 + \rho), \quad N_1 \leq n \leq N_1 + \rho \\ &= -p(n)y(n-m) + \frac{3(b_1-1)}{4} - \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} f_1(s)G_1(y(s-k_1)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} f_2(s)G_2(y(s-k_2)) + \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} f(s), \quad n \geq N_1 + \rho. \end{aligned}$$

For $y \in S$ and $n \geq N_1 + \rho$,

$$\begin{aligned} Ty(n) &\leq -b_1 + \frac{3(b_1-1)}{4} + M_2 \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} f_2(s) + \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} f(s) \\ &< -b_1 + \frac{3(b_1-1)}{4} + \frac{b_1+1}{4} + \frac{b_1+1}{4} \\ &= \frac{b_1-1}{4} < 1, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Ty(n) &\geq -b_2 + \frac{3(b_1-1)}{4} - \left(\frac{b_1+1}{4} - b_2 \right) \\ &\geq \frac{b_1-1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $Ty \in S$, that is $T : S \rightarrow S$.

Further for $x \in S$, consider

$$\begin{aligned} |Ty(n) - Tx(n)| &\leq b_1 \|y - x\| + \left(\frac{b_1 + 1}{4} - b_2 \right) \|y - x\| + \frac{b_1 + 1}{4} \|y - x\| \\ &\leq \left(b_1 + \frac{b_1 + 1}{4} - b_2 + \frac{b_1 - 1}{4} \right) \|y - x\| \\ &\leq \left(\frac{6b_1 + 2}{4} - b_2 \right) \|y - x\| \\ &\leq \left(\frac{14b_2 + 8}{4} \right) \|y - x\| \end{aligned}$$

Thus $|Ty(n) - Tx(n)| \leq \frac{7b_2 + 4}{2} \|y - x\|$ for every $x, y \in S$.

Hence T is contraction. Consequently, T has a unique fixed point y in S . which is solution of equation (1) in the interval $\left[\frac{b_1 - 1}{2}, 1 \right]$; and is the bounded non oscillatory solution.

3. REFERENCES:

1. L.Berezansky, E.Braverman, Exponential stability of Difference Equations with Several Delays, Advances in Difference Equations, vol. 2009, pp.1-13.
2. R.D.Driver, G.Ladas, P.N.Vlahos, Asymptotic Behavior of A Linear Delay Difference Equation, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., vol 115(1), 1992, 105-112.
3. S.Elaydi, An Introduction to Difference Equations, Undergraduate Text in Mathematics, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 3rd edition, 2005.
4. I.Gyori, G.Ladas, Oscillation theory of delay differential equations with applications, Oxford University Press, 1991.
5. M.R.S. Kulenovic, S. Hadziomerspahic, Existence of nonoscillatory solution for linear neutral delay differential equation, Fasciculi Mathematici, Nr. 32(2001), 61-72.
6. G.Ladas, Y.G.Sficas, Oscillations of neutral delay differential equations, Canad. Math. Bull. 29 (1986), 438-445.

7. S.Lourdu Marian, M. Paul Loganatham, A. George Maria Selvam, Existence of Positive Solutions of Second Order Nonlinear Neutral Delay Difference Equations with Forcing Term, IJMA. Volume.2 (12), pp.2565-2569, (2011).
8. N. Parhi, A.K. Tripathy, On asymptotic behavior and oscillation of forced first order non-linear neutral difference equations, Fasciculi Mathematici, Nr.32(2001), 83-95.
9. N. Parhi, A.K. Tripathy, Oscillation of a class of neutral difference equations of first order, J. Diff. Eqns. And Appl. 9(2003), 933-946.
10. K.V.V. Seshagiri Rao, A.K. Tripathy, T. Gopal Rao, Non oscillatory solutions of certain nonlinear neutral delay difference equations, Proceedings of National conference on 'Interdisciplinary applications of Mathematical & Statistical techniques', ISMAS, (2012).
11. K.V.V. Seshagiri Rao, A.K. Tripathy, T. Gopal Rao, Existence of Bounded Nonoscillatory Solutions of Certain Nonlinear Non homogeneous Neutral Delay Difference Equations of First Order, IJSRE, Volume 3(1), pp.2724-2730, (2015).
12. J. Yu, Z. Wang, C. Qian, Oscillation and non oscillation of neutral delay differential Equations, Bull. Austral. Math. 45 (1992), 195-200.