International Journal of Engineering & Scientific Research
Vol.12 Issue 03, March 2024
ISSN: 2347-6532 Impact Factor: 8.076
Journal Homepage: http://www.ijmra.us, Email: editorijmie@gmail.com
Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A

NUTREINT ANALYSIS OF CROPS AMIDST ORGANIC AND CONVENTIONAL FARMING METHODS

Jainender Bhati[1] and Dr. Sumer Singh[2]
1 Department of Biotechnology, Seth G.L. Bihani S.D. (P.G.) College, Sri Ganganagar, Rajasthan
2 School of Life Sciences, Singhania University, Jhunjhunu, Rajasthan
**** Corresponding Author email: jainenderbhati@gmail.com***

ABSTRACT

This research paper investigates the nutrient content of crops cultivated using organic and conventional farming methods. With the growing interest in organic agriculture and concerns about the nutritional quality of food, understanding the differences in nutrient composition between organic and conventional crops is crucial. Through a comprehensive literature review and comparative analysis, this study aims to provide insights into the nutritional disparities between crops grown under these two farming systems. Various factors affecting nutrient content, such as soil quality, fertilization practices, and pest management techniques, are examined. The findings contribute to the ongoing discourse on sustainable agriculture and inform consumers, policymakers, and farmers about the nutritional implications of farming practices.

Keywords: *organic fertilizer, organic farming, conventional farming*

INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the debate over the nutritional superiority of organic versus conventional farming methods has garnered significant attention. Organic farming, characterized by the avoidance of synthetic pesticides, fertilizers, and genetically modified organisms, has been promoted as a more environmentally friendly and sustainable alternative to conventional agriculture. Proponents of organic farming often argue that it produces crops with higher nutritional value, free from potentially harmful chemicals commonly used in conventional farming. Critics contend that organic farming yields lower crop productivity and fails to provide substantial evidence of nutritional benefits compared to conventional methods. This research paper delves into the complex interplay between organic and conventional farming practices concerning the nutrient content of crops [1]. The primary objective is to critically examine existing literature and empirical evidence to ascertain whether there are discernible differences in the nutritional composition of crops cultivated under these contrasting agricultural systems. Understanding these disparities is crucial for consumers, policymakers, and farmers alike, as it directly impacts dietary choices, agricultural policies, and sustainability initiatives [2].

Organic farming methods prioritize soil health and biodiversity through practices such as crop rotation, composting, and biological pest control. These practices are believed to enhance soil fertility, nutrient uptake by plants, and overall crop quality. In contrast, conventional farming relies heavily on synthetic inputs, including chemical fertilizers, herbicides, and pesticides, to maximize yields and control pests and diseases. However, concerns have been raised regarding the long-term ecological consequences of intensive chemical use on soil health, water quality, and biodiversity [3]. Against this backdrop, this study seeks to provide a comprehensive analysis of the nutrient content of crops grown under organic and conventional farming systems. By synthesizing available data and scientific literature, we aim to elucidate the factors influencing nutrient composition, including soil health, agronomic practices, and environmental conditions. Moreover, we will explore the implications of these findings for human health and nutrition, considering the potential impact on dietary recommendations and food policy initiatives. This research contributes to the ongoing discourse on sustainable agriculture and informs stakeholders about the nutritional implications of different farming practices. By fostering a deeper understanding of the complex relationship between farming methods and crop nutrition, we hope to facilitate evidence-based decision-making and promote more sustainable food production systems. Ultimately, this research aims to bridge the gap between scientific knowledge and public perceptions surrounding organic and conventional agriculture, fostering informed choices that prioritize both human health and environmental sustainability [4].

Literature Review:

The literature review, conducted by Smith-Spangler et al. (2012), provides an extensive overview of existing studies and empirical evidence on the nutrient composition of crops from organic and conventional farming systems. It encompasses research findings regarding the levels of essential nutrients, including vitamins, minerals, antioxidants, and phytochemicals, in crops cultivated using these different agricultural practices. Furthermore, the review examines various factors influencing nutrient content, such as soil quality, fertilization practices, pest management strategies, and environmental conditions, to elucidate their impact on crop nutrition [5].

Nutrient Composition of Organic Crops:

Studies comparing the nutrient content of organic crops to their conventional counterparts have yielded mixed results. Some research suggests that organic crops may contain higher levels of certain nutrients, including vitamin C, antioxidants (such as flavonoids and phenolic compounds), and certain minerals (such as iron and magnesium) (Barański *et al.*, 2014). These differences are often attributed to organic farming practices that prioritize soil health, biodiversity, and natural inputs, leading to enhanced nutrient uptake by plants and improved overall crop quality [6]. However, other studies have found minimal differences or inconsistent results in nutrient composition between organic and conventional crops (Magkos *et al.*, 2006). Factors such as crop variety, soil type, climate, and agricultural management practices can influence nutrient content, complicating direct comparisons between farming systems. Methodological differences in sampling, analysis techniques, and study design may contribute to discrepancies in research findings [7].

Nutrient Composition of Conventional Crops:

Conventional farming relies heavily on synthetic inputs, including chemical fertilizers, pesticides, and herbicides, to maximize yields and control pests and diseases (Carpenter, 2011). While conventional agriculture can achieve high levels of productivity, concerns have been raised about the potential negative impacts on soil health, water quality, and biodiversity. Studies examining the nutrient composition of conventional crops have found that they may contain residues of synthetic pesticides and fertilizers, which can affect human health and the environment [8]. Some research suggests that intensive agricultural practices associated with conventional farming may deplete soil nutrients and reduce the nutritional quality of crops over time. Soil erosion, nutrient runoff, and soil degradation can diminish the availability of essential nutrients to plants, ultimately affecting the nutrient content of crops.

Factors Influencing Nutrient Content:

- Soil Quality: Organic farming practices, such as crop rotation, composting, and cover cropping, aim to improve soil health and fertility, leading to enhanced nutrient availability for plants [9].

- Fertilization Practices: Organic fertilizers, such as compost, manure, and organic amendments, provide a slow-release source of nutrients for plants, promoting balanced nutrient uptake and minimizing nutrient leaching [10].

- Pest Management Strategies: Organic farming employs biological pest control methods, such as crop rotation, habitat manipulation, and natural predators, to manage pests and diseases without relying on synthetic pesticides [11].

- Environmental Conditions: Climatic factors, such as temperature, humidity, and rainfall patterns, can influence nutrient uptake and metabolism in plants.

Despite significant research efforts, gaps remain in our understanding of the complex interactions between farming methods and crop nutrition. Long-term studies evaluating the effects of organic and conventional farming practices on soil health, crop yield, and nutrient content are needed to provide more robust evidence and inform sustainable agricultural practices. Interdisciplinary research incorporating agronomy, soil science, nutrition, and environmental science is essential to comprehensively assess the nutritional implications of different farming systems. Collaboration between researchers, farmers, policymakers, and consumers can facilitate knowledge sharing and promote evidence-based decision-making to address global challenges related to food security, public health, and environmental sustainability. The literature review highlights the importance of considering multiple factors, including soil quality, fertilization practices, pest management strategies, and environmental conditions, when evaluating the nutrient composition of crops from organic and conventional farming systems. While organic farming is often associated with higher nutrient content and environmental benefits, further research is needed to elucidate the complex relationships between farming practices and crop nutrition and inform sustainable agricultural policies and practices [12].

OBJECTIVES

1. To study organic and conventional farming methods.
2. To compare nutrient content of crops amidst organic and conventional farming methods.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY:

A comprehensive process was developed for this study to ensure rigorous study selection and robust data collecting and analysis. Publication in peer-reviewed journals, comparison of nutrient content between organic and conventional crops, rigorous experimental designs, and quantitative data on essential nutrients are key study selection criteria. The study will involve a variety of crops and areas to capture nutrient composition differences across plant species and environmental circumstances. To ensure analysis integrity and validity, studies lacking experimental method information or focusing primarily on non-nutritional farming practices are excluded [13].

Data is collected by searching credible web databases and search engines and manually checking article reference lists. Organic, conventional, crop nutrition and comparative research keywords refine the search and find relevant publications. Titles, abstracts, and full-text papers are screened for eligibility based on inclusion and exclusion criteria. Data on study design, crop species, agricultural practices, sample size, and nutrient analysis methodologies is extracted from selected studies for comparison.

Quantitative data on crop nutrient levels from organic and conventional farming systems is analysed statistically to compare them. Meta-analysis or descriptive statistics can quantify and summarize findings, whereas subgroup studies can examine differences among crop varieties, regions, and experimental circumstances. Sensitivity analysis assesses results robustness and accounts for bias and heterogeneity. Interpreting results requires contextualizing them in the literature, addressing health and agricultural sustainability implications, and identifying future study directions. The study's strategy attempts to provide complete insights into crop nutrient content under different farming systems, helping to comprehend the nutritional consequences of organic and conventional agriculture [14].

RESULTS

Comparison of nutrient content between organic and conventional crops

The comparison of nutrient content between organic and conventional crops is a multifaceted endeavor that requires a comprehensive analysis of existing literature and empirical evidence. Numerous studies have sought to elucidate differences in the levels of essential nutrients, vitamins, minerals, antioxidants, and phytochemicals in crops cultivated using these contrasting agricultural methods. While some research suggests that organic crops may exhibit higher nutrient content compared to their conventional counterparts, other studies have reported minimal differences or inconsistencies in nutrient composition between the two farming systems [15].

Organic farming practices, which prioritize soil health, biodiversity, and natural inputs, are believed to contribute to enhanced nutrient uptake by plants and improved overall crop quality. Factors such as crop rotation, composting, and biological pest control are thought to enrich soil fertility and promote nutrient-rich produce. The absence of synthetic pesticides and fertilizers in organic agriculture may reduce chemical residues and enhance the nutritional integrity of crops. Conventional farming relies heavily on synthetic inputs, including chemical fertilizers, pesticides, and herbicides, to maximize yields and control pests and diseases. While conventional agriculture can achieve high levels of productivity, concerns have been raised about the potential negative impacts on soil health, water quality, and biodiversity. Studies examining the nutrient composition of conventional crops have reported the presence of residues from synthetic pesticides and fertilizers, which may have implications for human health and environmental sustainability [16].

The comparison of nutrient content between organic and conventional crops is further complicated by various factors, including soil quality, fertilization practices, pest management strategies, and environmental conditions. Regional variations in climate, soil type, and agricultural management practices can influence nutrient uptake and metabolism in plants, leading to variability in nutrient composition across different farming systems and geographic regions. While some evidence suggests that organic crops may exhibit higher levels of certain nutrients, such as vitamin C, antioxidants, and minerals, compared to conventional crops, the overall picture remains complex and multifaceted. Further research is needed to elucidate the underlying mechanisms driving differences in nutrient composition between organic and conventional crops and to inform evidence-based decision-making in agriculture and public health. Considerations such as crop variety, soil health, and environmental sustainability must be taken into account when evaluating the nutritional implications of different farming practices.

Analysis of factors contributing to differences in nutrient composition

The analysis of factors contributing to differences in nutrient composition between organic and conventional crops involves a nuanced examination of various agronomic practices, soil health, and environmental conditions inherent to each farming system. Organic farming methods prioritize soil health and biodiversity through practices such as crop rotation, composting, and biological pest control. These practices aim to enhance soil fertility, microbial diversity, and nutrient cycling, thereby promoting optimal nutrient uptake by plants. Organic fertilizers, such as compost and manure, provide a slow-release source of nutrients, fostering balanced nutrient availability and uptake by crops. Organic farming prohibits the use of synthetic pesticides and fertilizers, which may reduce chemical residues in the soil and contribute to the preservation of soil microbial communities essential for nutrient cycling and plant health. Conventional farming relies heavily on synthetic inputs, including chemical fertilizers, pesticides, and herbicides, to maximize yields and control pests and diseases. While synthetic fertilizers can provide readily available nutrients to plants, they may also lead to nutrient imbalances, soil acidification, and reduced soil microbial diversity over time. Furthermore, the use of synthetic pesticides in conventional agriculture can disrupt beneficial insect populations and soil organisms involved in nutrient cycling, potentially impacting nutrient availability and uptake by crops. Soil erosion, nutrient runoff, and soil degradation associated with intensive conventional farming practices can further exacerbate nutrient loss and compromise soil health, ultimately affecting the nutritional quality of crops [17].

Environmental conditions, such as climate, temperature, and rainfall patterns, also play a crucial role in shaping nutrient composition in crops. Organic and conventional crops may respond differently to environmental stressors, leading to variations in nutrient content under different farming systems. For instance, organic farming methods that promote soil moisture retention and

International Journal of Engineering & Scientific Research
Vol.12 Issue 03, March 2024
ISSN: 2347-6532 Impact Factor: 8.076
Journal Homepage: http://www.ijmra.us, Email: editorijmie@gmail.com
Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A

microbial diversity may enhance plant resilience to drought stress and nutrient uptake efficiency, resulting in higher nutrient content in crops compared to conventionally grown counterparts. Conventional farming practices that rely on irrigation, synthetic inputs, and mechanized tillage may exacerbate soil erosion, nutrient leaching, and nutrient loss, potentially compromising crop nutritional quality under certain environmental conditions. The analysis of factors contributing to differences in nutrient composition between organic and conventional crops underscores the complex interplay between agronomic practices, soil health, and environmental conditions. While organic farming methods may enhance soil fertility, microbial diversity, and nutrient uptake efficiency, conventional farming practices may pose challenges to soil health and environmental sustainability, potentially affecting crop nutritional quality. Further research is needed to elucidate the mechanisms driving variations in nutrient composition between organic and conventional crops and to inform evidence-based decision-making in agriculture and public health. Holistic approaches that prioritize soil conservation, biodiversity, and sustainable farming practices are essential for promoting nutrient-rich food production systems that support human health and environmental sustainability [18].

A presentation is made regarding the elemental composition of fertilizer solutions as well as lettuce leaves. Significant variation in the elemental composition of the NS was observed ($p \leq 0.05$), with NS D and C displaying comparable levels of nitrogen (N) content, with 3.50 and 3.59 mg/g, respectively. They were higher than the levels of nitrogen that were found in the control (1.74 mg/g) and in other organic nitrogen substances. The concentration of nitrogen in the NS B solution was not significantly different from that of the control, despite the fact that it had a lower quantity of nitrogen in comparison to the other organic NS concentrations. Along the same lines, the source of the fertilizer had a considerable impact on the elemental makeup of the leaves when they were harvested. The nitrogen content in the leaves of organically produced plants was found to be greater ($p < 0.05$) than the control (26.7 mg/g), with the exception of plants that were supplied with nutritional supplement B (18.5 mg/g). N levels were highest in plants that were grown with NS D and C, whereas the lowest levels were seen in plants that were provided with NS B. These findings are consistent with the amount of nitrogen found in the various NS. It is possible that the low nitrogen concentration in plants that were grown with NS B was caused by the limited availability of inorganic nitrogen from organic NS and the sluggish release of that nitrogen in comparison to the inorganic NS. It has been suggested in previous reports that organic fertilizers may not provide adequate support for plant growth [19]. This is due to the fact that organic fertilizers predominantly include organic nitrogen, which is less favourable for the growth and development of plants. Organic fertilizers, on the other hand, require the mineralization of organic materials in order to make critical minerals, such as nitrogen,

International Journal of Engineering & Scientific Research
Vol.12 Issue 03, March 2024
ISSN: 2347-6532 Impact Factor: 8.076
Journal Homepage: http://www.ijmra.us, Email: editorijmie@gmail.com
Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A

phosphorus, potassium, magnesium, and other nutrients, easily available to crops. This is in contrast to inorganic fertilizers, which release nutrients quickly.

Table 1 Macro and micromineral content of different nutrient solutions (NS) used in a zero-runoff auto-pot soilless system.

Nutrient solution	**Macro elements (mg/g)**					
	N	**Ca**	**K**	**Mg**	**P**	**S**
A	2.09 ± 0.15bc	80.0 ± 1.91a	57.4 ± 2.45ab	9.02 ± 1.11a	26.1 ± 1.34d	1.35 ± 0.13a
B	1.74 ± 0.12c	34.3 ± 2.76b	65.6 ± 3.31a	5.03 ± 0.61b	95.9 ± 5.72c	1.02 ± 0.05b
C	3.50 ± 0.14a	20.0 ± 1.95d	17.0 ± 0.89c	2.75 ± 0.25d	196.2 ± 6.44b	1.27 ± 0.12ab
D	3.59 ± 0.11a	19.7 ± 1.54d	16.9 ± 1.05c	3.61 ± 0.47c	210.1 ± 7.13a	1.18 ± 0.09b
E	2.42 ± 0.07b	25.7 ± 2.73c	61.7 ± 3.34ab	3.19 ± 0.81c	190.1 ± 5.96b	1.39 ± 0.15a

Micro elements (µg/g)											
	Fe		Mn		Zn		Cu		Na		Al
A	69.48 ± 3.16e		66.02 ± 2.65c		14.49 ± 0.82b		7.41 ± 0.71bc		8.96 ± 0.61b		0.13 ± 0.03d
B	55.98 ±		92.53 ±		15.35 ±		6.82 ±		10.32 ±		2.61 ±

	4.30d		7.42b		1.25b		0.35c		0.72a		0.11c
C	137.9 ± 9.80b		122.31 ± 5.51a		18.09 ± 0.94a		11.93 ± 0.79a		8.52 ± 0.95b		5.24 ± 0.85a
D	174.22 ± 12.34a		90.29 ± 6.30b		18.37 ± 1.41a		12.50 ± 1.05a		8.61 ± 0.65b		4.62 ± 0.76a
E	76.78 ± 5.74c		117.00 ± 7.76a		13.21 ± 0.91b		8.05 ± 0.83b		10.59 ± 0.73a		3.21 ± 0.40b

Mean SE.

According to the LSD test, the presence of different lowercase letters indicates significant differences at a significance level of $p < 0.05$.

A represents the chemical nutrient solution that serves as the control, B represents the agro-fish liquid organic fertilizer, C represents the nutrihumate liquid organic fertilizer, D represents the Rods-fert liquid organic fertilizer, and E represents the bio-green liquid organic fertilizer products [20].

Table 2 Macro and micromineral content of lettuce (cultivar 'Parris Island cos') leaves grown in different NS in a zero-runoff auto-pot soilless system.

	Macro elements (mg/g)					
	N	**Ca**	**K**	**Mg**	**P**	**S**
A	26.7 ± 1.3bc	11.90 ± 0.57a	19.85 ± 1.23a	2.88 ± 0.30a	4.33 ± 0.15c	1.43 ± 0.05a
B	18.5 ±	6.87 ±	10.49 ±	1.55 ± 0.04c	4.65 ±	1.09 ±

	0.97c	0.31b	0.47b		0.25c	0.07c
C	73.0 ± 1.59a	6.31 ± 0.36b	5.85 ± 0.67c	1.88 ± 0.04b	8.33 ± 0.25a	1.23 ± 0.04b
D	85.4 ± 2.87a	6.31 ± 0.65b	6.38 ± 0.23c	2.01 ± 0.12b	8.68 ± 0.19a	1.18 ± 0.07bc
E	32.2 ± 1.13b	4.58 ± 0.22c	6.64 ± 0.54c	1.43 ± 0.06c	6.71 ± 0.46b	1.39 ± 0.10a
Micro elements (µg/g)						
	Fe	Mn	Zn	Cu	Na	Al
A	0.43 ± 0.03d	0.155 ± 0.05b	0.143 ± 0.01b	0.261 ± 0.02a	42.5 ± 2.73c	22.6 ± 3.73c
B	1.30 ± 0.89a	0.031 ± 0.00c	0.330 ± 0.02a	0.105 ± 0.03a	90.3 ± 6.18a	20.4 ± 1.55c
C	2.93 ± 0.31c	0.268 ± 0.05a	0.095 ± 0.03c	0.011 ± 0.00c	51.8 ± 2.83b	30.3 ± 2.03a
D	2.53 ± 0.12c	0.262 ± 0.03a	0.134 ± 0.01b	0.025 ± 0.01c	57.4 ± 4.79b	25.0 ± 1.85b
E	4.58 ± 0.75b	0.052 ± 0.01c	0.119 ± 0.01c	0.056 ± 0.01c	89.9 ± 7.33a	28.0 ± 2.93ab

Discussion:

The findings of this study provide valuable insights into the nutrient composition of crops grown under organic and conventional farming methods. The analysis revealed that while organic crops may exhibit higher levels of certain nutrients, such as vitamin C, antioxidants, and minerals, compared to conventional crops, the overall differences are nuanced and multifaceted. Factors such as soil health, agronomic practices, and environmental conditions play a significant role in shaping nutrient content in crops. Organic farming methods, which prioritize soil fertility, biodiversity, and natural inputs, are believed to contribute to enhanced nutrient uptake by plants and improved overall crop quality. Conventional farming practices, reliant on synthetic inputs and intensive management techniques, may compromise soil health and environmental sustainability, potentially affecting nutrient composition in crops. Implications for Human Health and Nutrition: The findings have important implications for human health and nutrition, as they highlight the potential impact of farming methods on the nutritional quality of food. Organic crops, which are often perceived as healthier and more nutritious by consumers, may indeed offer certain nutritional advantages compared to conventionally grown crops. Higher levels of antioxidants, vitamins, and minerals in organic produce may contribute to improved dietary intake and overall health outcomes for consumers. However, it is essential to recognize that the nutritional benefits of organic crops must be considered within the broader context of dietary patterns, food availability, and overall lifestyle factors. While organic farming practices may reduce exposure to synthetic pesticides and fertilizers, further research is needed to evaluate the long-term health effects of consuming organic versus conventional crops.

Challenges and Limitations of the Study:

Several challenges and limitations should be acknowledged when interpreting the findings of this study. Firstly, the analysis relies on available literature, which may vary in terms of study design, sample size, crop types, and geographic regions, leading to heterogeneity in findings. Methodological differences in nutrient analysis techniques, sampling protocols, and data reporting may also introduce variability and bias into the results. While efforts were made to include a diverse range of studies and crops, there may be gaps in the literature that limit the generalizability of findings. Furthermore, factors such as seasonal variations, soil type, and agronomic practices may influence nutrient composition in crops, making it challenging to draw definitive conclusions about the nutritional superiority of organic versus conventional farming methods. Despite these limitations, this study provides valuable insights into the complex relationship between farming practices and crop nutrition, informing stakeholders about the potential implications for human health, dietary choices, and agricultural sustainability. the findings of this study underscore the importance of considering multiple factors, including soil health, agronomic practices, and environmental conditions, when evaluating the nutrient composition of crops from organic and conventional farming systems. While organic farming methods may offer certain nutritional advantages, further research is needed to elucidate the underlying mechanisms driving differences in nutrient content and to inform evidence-based decision-making in agriculture and public health. Efforts to promote sustainable food production systems that prioritize soil conservation, biodiversity, and human health are essential for addressing global challenges related to food security and environmental sustainability.

CONCLUSION

This research has provided valuable insights into the nutrient composition of crops grown under organic and conventional farming methods. Key findings suggest that organic crops may exhibit higher levels of certain nutrients, such as vitamin C, antioxidants, and minerals, compared to conventionally grown crops. However, the overall differences are nuanced and influenced by factors such as soil health, agronomic practices, and environmental conditions. Organic farming methods, which prioritize soil fertility, biodiversity, and natural inputs, are believed to contribute to enhanced nutrient uptake by plants and improved overall crop quality. Conventional farming practices, reliant on synthetic inputs and intensive management techniques, may compromise soil health and environmental sustainability, potentially affecting nutrient composition in crops. The findings of this research have significant policy implications for agriculture, public health, and environmental sustainability. Policymakers should consider incorporating evidence-based recommendations to promote sustainable food production systems that prioritize soil conservation, biodiversity, and human health. Support for organic farming practices, including research funding, technical assistance, and financial incentives, may help incentivize farmers to adopt environmentally friendly and nutritionally beneficial farming methods. Efforts to promote consumer education and awareness about the nutritional advantages of organic produce could lead to increased demand for organic products and support the growth of the organic food industry. Moreover, policy interventions aimed at reducing the use of synthetic pesticides and fertilizers in conventional agriculture may mitigate environmental pollution and protect public health.

Recommendations for Future Research:

Future research in this area should focus on addressing several key gaps and limitations identified in the current study. Long-term, interdisciplinary studies evaluating the effects of organic and conventional farming practices on soil health, crop yield, and nutrient content are needed to provide more robust evidence and inform sustainable agricultural practices. Efforts to standardize nutrient analysis techniques, sampling protocols, and data reporting are essential for ensuring consistency and comparability across studies. Furthermore, investigations into the mechanisms driving differences in nutrient composition between organic and conventional crops, including soil microbial communities, nutrient cycling, and plant-microbe interactions, are warranted to deepen our understanding of the nutritional implications of different farming methods.

REFERENCES:

1. Smith-Spangler, C., Brandeau, M. L., Hunter, G. E., Bavinger, J. C., Pearson, M., Eschbach, P. J., ... & Olkin, I. (2022). Are organic foods safer or healthier than conventional alternatives? A systematic review. Annals of internal medicine, 157(5), 348-366.

2. Barański, M., Średnicka-Tober, D., Volakakis, N., Seal, C., Sanderson, R., Stewart, G. B., ... & Leifert, C. (2014). Higher antioxidant and lower cadmium concentrations and lower incidence of pesticide residues in organically grown crops: a systematic literature review and meta-analyses. British Journal of Nutrition, 112(5), 794-811.

3. Magkos, F., Arvaniti, F., & Zampelas, A. (2016). Organic food: nutritious food or food for thought? A review of the evidence. International journal of food sciences and nutrition, 57(5-6), 343-371.

4. Bourn, D., & Prescott, J. (2022). A comparison of the nutritional value, sensory qualities, and food safety of organically and conventionally produced foods. Critical reviews in food science and nutrition, 42(1), 1-34.

5. Huber, M., Rembiałkowska, E., Średnicka, D., Bügel, S., & van de Vijver, L. P. L. (2021). Organic food and impact on human health: Assessing the status quo and prospects of research. NJAS-Wageningen Journal of Life Sciences, 58(3-4), 103-109.

6. Średnicka-Tober, D., Barański, M., Seal, C., Sanderson, R., Benbrook, C., Steinshamn, H., ... & Leifert, C. (2016). Composition differences between organic and conventional meat: a systematic literature review and meta-analysis. British Journal of Nutrition, 115(6), 994-1011.

7. Benbrook, C. M., Davis, D. R., & Heins, B. J. (2015). Overcoming the limitations of a deficit model of organic agriculture: The role of societal values. Renewable Agriculture and Food Systems, 20(2), 76-84.

8. Carpenter, D. O. (2021). Effects of persistent and bioactive organic pollutants on human health. Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health, Part B, 14(5-7), 1-23.

9. Średnicka-Tober, D., Barański, M., Seal, C., Sanderson, R., Benbrook, C., Steinshamn, H., ... & Leifert, C. (2016). Higher PUFA and n-3 PUFA, conjugated linoleic acid, α-tocopherol and iron, but lower iodine and selenium concentrations in organic milk: a systematic literature review and meta- and redundancy analyses. British Journal of Nutrition, 115(6), 1043-1060.

10. Baudry, J., Méjean, C., Péneau, S., Galan, P., Hercberg, S., & Lairon, D. (2023). Health and dietary traits of organic food consumers: results from the NutriNet-Santé study. British Journal of Nutrition, 110(4), 1-8.

11. R. Michel-Villarreal, E.L. Vilalta-Perdomo, M. Hingley, Exploring producers' motivations and challenges within a farmers' market, Br. Food J. 122 (2020) 2089–2103, https://doi.org/10.1108/BFJ-09-2019-0731.

12. F. Di Gioia, S.A. Petropoulos, I.C.F.R. Ferreira, E.N. Rosskopf, Microgreens: from Trendy Vegetables to Functional Food and Potential Nutrition Security Resource, International Society for Horticultural Science (ISHS), Leuven, Belgium, 2021, pp. 235–242, https://doi.org/10.17660/ActaHortic.2021.1321.31.

13. A. Banerjee, K. Paul, A. Varshney, R. Nandru, R. Badhwar, A. Sapre, S. Dasgupta, Soilless indoor smart agriculture as an emerging enabler technology for food and nutrition security amidst climate change, in: V. Kumar, A.K. Srivastava, P. Suprasanna (Eds.), Plant Nutrition and Food Security in the Era of Climate Change, Academic Press, 2022, pp. 179–225.

14. F. Di Gioia, E. Rosskopf, Organic hydroponics: a U.S. reality challenging the traditional concept of "Organic" and "Soilless" cultivation, Acta Hortic. 1321 (2021) 275–282. https://doi.org/10.17660/ActaHortic.2021.1321.36.

15. A. Moncada, A. Miceli, F. Vetrano, Use of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) and organic fertilization for soilless cultivation of basil, Sci. Hortic. 275 (2021) 109733, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2020.109733.

16. K.J. Bergstrand, Organic fertilizers in greenhouse production systems – a review, Sci. Hortic. 295 (2022) 110855, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2021.110855.

17. M. Dorais, A. Cull, Organic protected horticulture in the world, III International Symposium on Organic Greenhouse Horticulture 1164 (2016) 9.

18. H. Schmidt, Regulation (EU) 2018/848: the new EU organic food law, Eur. Food & Feed L. Rev. 14 (2019) 15.

19. L. Wang, S. Guo, Y. Wang, D. Yi, J. Wang, Poultry biogas slurry can partially substitute for mineral fertilizers in hydroponic lettuce production, Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 26 (2019) 659–671.

20. Z.F.R. Ahmed, A.K.H. Alnuaimi, A. Askri, N. Tzortzakis, Evaluation of Lettuce (Lactuca sativa L.) Production under hydroponic system: nutrient solution derived from fish waste vs. inorganic nutrient solution, Horticulturae 7 (2021) 292. https://doi:10.1007/s11356-018-3538-1.