



# International Journal of Physical and Social Sciences

(ISSN: 2249-5894)

## CONTENTS

Sr. No.	TITLE & NAME OF THE AUTHOR (S)	Page No.
<u>1</u>	Malaysia Ministry of Education's Selection of Poems for the Form Four and Form Five New Literature Component. Dr. Chew Fong Peng and Ms Tan Li Chin	<u>1-23</u>
<u>2</u>	An investigation into motivation techniques used by the University of Zimbabwe administration to retain staff: 2008-2010. Tendai Douglas Svtowa, Freddie P Mupambireyi and Samuel M Gumbe	<u>24-58</u>
<u>3</u>	Dutifulness and Social Responsibility of School Teachers. Armin Mahmoudi	<u>59-71</u>
<u>4</u>	Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs): A Promising Sector for Sustainable Development in Bangladesh. Md. Nazmul Haque	<u>72-91</u>
<u>5</u>	Managing High Performance in Business Organisations: Components of Excellence. L. P. Padhy	<u>92-114</u>
<u>6</u>	The White Tiger. Aravind Adiga	<u>115-123</u>
<u>7</u>	A study on Safety and Health provision that act as a drive force for the Employees in manufacturing sectors. Dr. S. Chitra Devi, Dr. K. J. Renuka and Anand.J	<u>124-139</u>
<u>8</u>	Nature Of Job And Occupational Stress: A Study Of Workers Of An Industry. Dr. Syed Khalid Perwez and Dr. Abdul Khaliq	<u>140-170</u>
<u>9</u>	Effect of Flyash on the Properties of Waste Plastic Fibre Reinforced Concrete - An Experimental Investigation. Dr. Prahallada M. C. and Dr. Prakash K.B.	<u>171-191</u>
<u>10</u>	Ethnic upshots on senior citizen finance in India - An empirical study on reverse Mortgage- need and challenges. Prof. Suresha B and Dr. Gajendra Naidu	<u>192-212</u>
<u>11</u>	Competitive Advantage and Human Resource Treasures; the Perils Attached with Ignorance of Tacit Knowledge in 21st Century. Dr Tripurari Pandey	<u>213-234</u>
<u>12</u>	A study on Role of Literacy on dietary pattern among pregnant women in rural areas of Aligarh, U.P. Dr. Saba Khan and Farhat Jahan	<u>235-248</u>
<u>13</u>	Emotional Intelligence Explores Human Resources as Social Capital. Dr. J. Venkatesh and Mr. D. Balaji	<u>249-264</u>
<u>14</u>	Globalization: Its Impact On The Composition And Growth Of India's Foreign Exchange Reserves With Special Reference To Capital Inflows And Outflows, Full-Convertibility And Optimum Level Of Foreign Exchange. Dr. Hala Raman	<u>265-296</u>
<u>15</u>	A Study On Transform Stress Factors Related To The Strategies To Cope With Of Employees In Selected Textile Industries In South India. Dr. G. Sakthivel	<u>297-317</u>
<u>16</u>	Investment Analysis And Portfolio Construction. Dr. B. Revathy and N. Suthendren	<u>318-335</u>

## **Chief Patron**

**Dr. JOSE G. VARGAS-HERNANDEZ**

Member of the National System of Researchers, Mexico

Research professor at University Center of Economic and Managerial Sciences,  
University of Guadalajara

Director of Mass Media at Ayuntamiento de Cd. Guzman

Ex. director of Centro de Capacitacion y Adiestramiento

## **Patron**

**Dr. Mohammad Reza Noruzi**

PhD: Public Administration, Public Sector Policy Making Management,  
Tarbiat Modarres University, Tehran, Iran

Faculty of Economics and Management, Tarbiat Modarres University, Tehran, Iran

Young Researchers' Club Member, Islamic Azad University, Bonab, Iran

## **Chief Advisors**

**Dr. NAGENDRA. S.**

Senior Asst. Professor,

Department of MBA, Mangalore Institute of Technology and Engineering, Moodabidri

**Dr. SUNIL KUMAR MISHRA**

Associate Professor,

Dronacharya College of Engineering, Gurgaon, INDIA

**Mr. GARRY TAN WEI HAN**

Lecturer and Chairperson (Centre for Business and Management),

Department of Marketing, University Tunku Abdul Rahman, MALAYSIA

**MS. R. KAVITHA**

Assistant Professor,

Aloysius Institute of Management and Information, Mangalore, INDIA

**Dr. A. JUSTIN DIRAVIAM**

Assistant Professor,

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering, Sardar Raja College of Engineering,  
Alangulam Tirunelveli, TAMIL NADU, INDIA

## Editorial Board

**Dr. CRAIG E. REESE**

Professor, School of Business, St. Thomas University, Miami Gardens

**Dr. S. N. TAKALIKAR**

Principal, St. Johns Institute of Engineering, PALGHAR (M.S.)

**Dr. RAMPRATAP SINGH**

Professor, Bangalore Institute of International Management, KARNATAKA

**Dr. P. MALYADRI**

Principal, Government Degree College, Osmania University, TANDUR

**Dr. Y. LOKESWARA CHOUDARY**

Asst. Professor Cum, SRM B-School, SRM University, CHENNAI

**Prof. Dr. TEKI SURAYYA**

Professor, Adikavi Nannaya University, ANDHRA PRADESH, INDIA

**Dr. T. DULABABU**

Principal, The Oxford College of Business Management, BANGALORE

**Dr. A. ARUL LAWRENCE SELVAKUMAR**

Professor, Adhiparasakthi Engineering College, MELMARAVATHUR, TN

**Dr. S. D. SURYAWANSHI**

Lecturer, College of Engineering Pune, SHIVAJINAGAR

**Dr. S. KALIYAMOORTHY**

Professor & Director, Alagappa Institute of Management, KARAIKUDI

**Prof S. R. BADRINARAYAN**

Sinhgad Institute for Management & Computer Applications, PUNE

**Mr. GURSEL ILIPINAR**

ESADE Business School, Department of Marketing, SPAIN

**Mr. ZEESHAN AHMED**

Software Research Eng, Department of Bioinformatics, GERMANY

**Mr. SANJAY ASATI**

Dept of ME, M. Patel Institute of Engg. & Tech., GONDIA(M.S.)

**Mr. G. Y. KUDALE**

N.M.D. College of Management and Research, GONDIA(M.S.)

## **Editorial Advisory Board**

**Dr. MANJIT DAS**

Assistant Professor, Deptt. of Economics, M.C.College, ASSAM

**Dr. ROLI PRADHAN**

Maulana Azad National Institute of Technology, BHOPAL

**Dr. N. KAVITHA**

Assistant Professor, Department of Management, Mekelle University, ETHIOPIA

**Prof C. M. MARAN**

Assistant Professor (Senior), VIT Business School, TAMIL NADU

**Dr. RAJIV KHOSLA**

Associate Professor and Head, Chandigarh Business School, MOHALI

**Dr. S. K. SINGH**

Asst. Professor, R. D. Foundation Group of Institutions, MODINAGAR

**Dr. (Mrs.) MANISHA N. PALIWAL**

Associate Professor, Sinhgad Institute of Management, PUNE

**Dr. (Mrs.) ARCHANA ARJUN GHATULE**

Director, SPSPM, SKN Sinhgad Business School, MAHARASHTRA

**Dr. NEELAM RANI DHANDA**

Associate Professor, Department of Commerce, kuk, HARYANA

**Dr. FARAH NAAZ GAURI**

Associate Professor, Department of Commerce, Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Marathwada University, AURANGABAD

**Prof. Dr. BADAR ALAM IQBAL**

Associate Professor, Department of Commerce, Aligarh Muslim University, UP

**Dr. CH. JAYASANKARAPRASAD**

Assistant Professor, Dept. of Business Management, Krishna University, A. P., INDIA

## **Technical Advisors**

**Mr. Vishal Verma**

Lecturer, Department of Computer Science, Ambala, INDIA

**Mr. Ankit Jain**

Department of Chemical Engineering, NIT Karnataka, Mangalore, INDIA

## **Associate Editors**

**Dr. SANJAY J. BHAYANI**

Associate Professor, Department of Business Management, RAJKOT, INDIA

**MOID UDDIN AHMAD**

Assistant Professor, Jaipuria Institute of Management, NOIDA

**Dr. SUNEEL ARORA**

Assistant Professor, G D Goenka World Institute, Lancaster University, NEW DELHI

**Mr. P. PRABHU**

Assistant Professor, Alagappa University, KARAIKUDI

**Mr. MANISH KUMAR**

Assistant Professor, DBIT, Deptt. Of MBA, DEHRADUN

**Mrs. BABITA VERMA**

Assistant Professor, Bhilai Institute Of Technology, DURG

**Ms. MONIKA BHATNAGAR**

Assistant Professor, Technocrat Institute of Technology, BHOPAL

**Ms. SUPRIYA RAHEJA**

Assistant Professor, CSE Department of ITM University, GURGAON

**Title**

**A STUDY ON TRANSFORM STRESS FACTORS RELATED TO THE  
STRATEGIES TO COPE WITH OF EMPLOYEES IN SELECTED  
TEXTILE INDUSTRIES IN SOUTH INDIA**

**Author(s)**

**Dr. G. Sakthivel Mcom ,Mphil., Ph.D**

*Head and Assit. Professor,*

*Department of Commerce (CA)*

*K. S. G. College of Arts and Science*

*Coimbatore-15, Coimbatore Dt*

*Tamilnadu-India*

**Abstract:**

Stress is one of the biggest killers in life. So many health problems are attributed to it, and yet we cultivate it in our lives by abusing our bodies, minds and souls. The ultimate goal is a balanced life, with time for work, relationships, relaxation, and fun – plus the resilience to hold up under pressure and meet challenges head on. Stress management starts with identifying the sources of stress in your life. The Cotton Textile plays a vital role in human life. Textile industries are one of the important industries of India for earning Foreign Exchange and giving employment to lakhs of workers .Because of being a highly labor intensive industry it needs to concentrate more in the area of employee welfare. this study throws light on the impact of welfare measures on QWL among the employees of textile Industries in South India.

**Key words:** Employment, Quality of work life, QWL ,textiles Mills

**Introduction:**

The role of Human Resource Management in organization has been evolving dramatically in recent times. The days of personnel management performing clerical duties such as record keeping paper pushing and life maintenance are over. Human Resource is increasingly receiving attention as a critical strategic partner, assuming stunningly different, for reaching transformational roles and responsibilities. Taking the strategic approved to human resource management involves making the function of managing human assets the most important priority in the organizations and integrating all human resource policies and programmes the frame-work of a company's strategy.

Human Resource Management is a process bringing people and organizations together so that the goals of each are met. In short, it may be defined as the art of procuring, developing and maintaining competent workforce to achieve the goals of an organization in an effective and efficient manner. The scope of Human Resource Management is very wide. Research in behavioral sciences, new trends in managing knowledge workers and advances in the field of training have expanded the scope of Human Resource in recent years.

The primary purpose Human Resource Management is to make people's strengths productive and to benefit customers, stock holders and employees. Human Resource Management requires that employees be motivated to exert their maximum efforts that their performance be evaluated properly for results and that they be remunerated on the basis of their contributions to the organizations.

Human Resource Management tries to prompt and stimulate every employees to realize his potential. To this end suitable programmes have to be designed and aimed at improving the quality of work life. It makes employment in the organization a desirable, personal and social situation. Without improvement in the quality of work life, it is difficult to improve organizational performance.

It is responsibility of Human Resource Management to communicate in the fullest possible sense: tapping ideas opinions and feelings of customers, non-customers, regulators and other external public as well as understanding the views of internal human resources. Human Resource Management ensure that organizations manage human resource in an ethical and socially responsible manner through ensuring compliance with legal and ethical standards.

Staff managers usually help and advise line managers in achieving organizational goals. Human Resource Managers are experts in assisting line managers in areas like recruiting, selecting, training and compensating.

### 1.1.1 **Stress:**

Organizational life is quite stressful new technologies, global competition, competitive pressures, have multiplied the woes of employees in recent times. Workers who are stressed are also more likely to be unhealthy, poorly motivated, less productive and less safe at work. Their organizations are less likely to be successful in a competitive market.

Stress is the "wear and tear" of our bodies experience as we adjust to our continually changing environment; it has physical and emotional effects on us and can create positive or negative feelings. As positive influences, stress can help compel us to action; it can result in a new awareness and an exciting new perspective. As a negative influence it can result in feelings of destruct, rejection, anger and depression, which in turn can lead to health problem such as



headache, upset stomach, rashes, insomnia, ulcers, high blood pressure, heart diseases and stroke. Thus it badly affects the productivity of the person in his functional area.

Stress is an emotional, an intellectual or physical reaction to change or demands. Anything that cause change in routine is stressful. Anything that causes change in body health is stressful. Stress is any thing that physically, emotionally and psychologically pressures an individual.

The stress reaction prepares the mind and body reacts to any situation like, threatening or exciting. The way a person handles stress can cause headaches, backaches, loss of appetite, fatigue, depression and potentially serious physical problems.

### **STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM:**

Work stress is a real phenomenon and it is associated with job satisfaction level of a worker in any place. In the case of Employees of Textiles industries there are many factors leading the employees in stressful situations. Some important factors associated with organizational stress among the employees are their over work load, working condition, role conflict, role ambiguity, relationship between managers and employees, society and family members, lack of experience in dealing the Human Resource procedures, lack of sense of belonging among the employees. Other than this, the employees find lack of availability and associability in department, lack of objectivity, multiple roles, lack of office space and time.

From this point of view we can find that the employees of textile industries facing lot of stress in the organizations. All these factors effect there physical, mental, social circumstances. It makes a employees ineffective and inefficient in their functional roles.

This made the researcher to opt for the study “A Study on Work Stress among Employees with special reference Textile Industries in South India”. Through this research the researcher wanted to highlight the work stress faced by the employees.

**OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY:**

1. To study the factors related to level of Acceptance with Respect to Causes for the Stress of Employees in Selected textile Industries in South India
2. To assess factors related to the strategies to cope with stress of employees in Selected textile Industries in South India
3. To offer suggestions to mitigate the stress problems identified

**TABLE NO.1****GENDER AND LEVEL OF SATISFACTION**

S.NO	GENDER	NO.OF RESPONDENTS	%	MEAN	RANGE		STD
					MIN	MAX	
1.	MALE	563	75.1%	126.3321	97.00	155.00	8.76998
2.	FEMALE	187	24.9%	131.6845	111.00	156.00	7.30532
	TOTAL	750	100%	127.6667	97.00	156.00	8.73682

It is identified from table, that 75.1 per cent of the respondents are males and that employees on the males ranged between 97 and 155 with an average of 126.33 and remaining 24.9 per cent of the respondents are females and that impact of employees on the females ranged between 111 and 156 with an average of 131.68. Thus the table vividly reveals that had a high impact on male respondents of textile employees than female respondents. With a view to find the degree of association between the gender and the impact of textile employees, two-way table was prepared and the results are shown in the table below

TABLE-2

## GENDER AND LEVEL OF SATISFACTION

S.NO	GENDER		TOTAL CODE IMPACT OF STRESS					TOTAL
			SA	A	NA	DA	SDA	
1.	MALE	COUNT	82	100	133	100	148	563
		%	58.6%	66.7%	78.7%	76.9%	91.9%	75.1%
2.	FEMALE	COUNT	58	50	36	30	13	187
		%	41.4%	33.3%	21.3%	23.1%	8.1%	24.9
	TOTAL	COUNT	140	150	169	130	161	750
		%	100.0 %	100.0%	100.0%	100.0	100.0%	100.0%

It is observed from the above table that satisfaction level of employees was the Strongly Disagree (91.9 per cent) among the male respondents and the Strongly Agree (41.4 per cent) among the female respondents. The percentage of Neutral Agree level Satisfaction of employees was the (78.7 per cent) among the male respondents and the Agree level of satisfaction (33.3 per cent) among the female respondents. The Strongly Agree level of Satisfaction of employees was the (58.6 per cent) among the male respondents and the Strongly Disagree level of satisfaction (8.1 per cent) among the female respondents. In order to identify the difference between the gender of the respondents and satisfaction level of employees, ANOVA test was employed.

TABLE-3

## ANOVA TABLE GENDER AND LEVEL OF SATIAFACTION

	SUM OF SQUARES	DF	MEAN SQUARE	F	SIG.
GENDER BETWEEN GROUPS (COMBINED)	4021	1	4021.394	56.593	.000
WITH IN GROUPS	53151.273	748	71.058		
TOTAL	57172.667	749			

It is identified from the table that the p-value is less than 0.01(  $p < 0.01$ ); and the results are significant. Hence, the hypothesis “there is no difference between gender and satisfaction level of employees” disproved. This shows that there is significant difference between gender and satisfaction level of employees.

TABLE 4

## AGE AND LEVEL OF SATISFACTION

S.NO	AGE	NO.OF RESPONDENTS	%	MEAN	RANGE		STD
					MIN	MAX	
1.	UP TO 30	35	4.7%	126.8571	105.00	141.00	10.00336
2.	31-40	312	41.6%	126.3654	97.00	144.00	8.05215
3.	41-50	227	30.3%	126.5374	101.00	155.00	9.48600
4.	ABOVE 50	176	23.5%	131.5909	111.0	156.00	7.45215
	TOTAL	750	100.0%	127.6667	97.00	156.00	8.73682

It identified from table 4, that 4.7 per cent of the respondents belong to age group of upto 30 years and that satisfaction level of employees on this age group ranged between 105 and 141 with an average of 126.85, 41.6 per cent of the respondents belong to 31-40 years age group and that satisfaction level of employees on this age group ranged between 97 and 144 with an average of 126.3654 per cent of the respondents belong to 41-50 years of age and that impact of employees on this age group ranged between 101 and 155 with an average of 126.54, 23.5 per cent of the respondents belong to above 50 years of age and that level of satisfaction of employees on this age group ranged between 111 and 156 with an average of 131.59 and remaining 10.8 per cent of the respondents. With a view to find the degree of association between the age groups and impact of employees, two-way table was prepared and the results are shown in the table below.

**TABLE NO. 5**  
**AGE AND LEVEL OF SATISFACTION**

S.N O	AGE		TOTAL CODE IMPACT OF STRESS					TOTAL
			SA	A	NA	DA	SDA	
1.	UP TO 30	COUNT	6	7	10	2	10	35
		%	4.3%	4.7%	5.9%	1.5%	6.2%	4.7%
2.	31-40	COUNT	43	56	80	54	79	312
		%	30.7%	37.3%	47.3%	41.5%	49.1%	41.6%
3.	41-50	COUNT	37	40	47	44	59	227
		%	26.4%	26.7%	27.8%	33.8%	36.6%	30.3%
4.	ABOVE 50	COUNT	54	47	32	30	13	176
		%	38.6%	31.3%	18.9%	23.1%	8.1%	23.5%
			140	150	169	130	161	750

	TOTAL	COUNT						
		%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

It is observed from the above table that, satisfaction level of employees was the Strongly Disagree level of satisfaction (6.2 per cent) in the age group of up to 30years and the Disagree level of satisfaction (1.5 per cent) in the age group of years, the Strongly Disagree level of satisfaction (49.1 per cent) in the age group of 31-40 years and the Strongly Agree and (30.7 per cent) belong to the age group of 41-50years, the SDA of impact of employees was the highest (26.4 per cent) in the age group of above 50years and the SDA (8.1 per cent). The results of the test are shown in the following table.

**TABLE 6**  
**AGE AND LEVEL OF SATISFACTION ANOVA TABLE**

	SUM OF SQUARES	DF	MEAN SQUARE	F	SIG.
AGE BETWEEN GROUPS (COMBINED)	3551.058	3	1183.686	16.468	.000
WITH IN GROUPS	53621.609	746	71.879		
TOTAL	57172.667	749			

It is identified from the table that the p-value is less than 0.01; and the results are significant. Hence, the hypothesis “there is no difference between age of the respondents and impact of employees” disproved. This shows that there is difference between age and impact of employees.

TABLE-7

## CADRE AND LEVEL OF SATISFACTION

S.NO	CADRE	NO.OF RESPONDE NTS	%	MEAN	RANGE		STD
					MIN	MAX	
1.	WORKMAN	424	56.5%	126.8255	97.00	155.00	9.08862
2.	SUPERVISORY STAFF	59	7.9%	127.7966	113.00	156.00	8.55163
3.	OFFICER	267	35.6%	128.9738	109.00	148.00	8.04891
	TOTAL	750	100.0%	127.6667	97.00	156.00	8.73682

It is observed from the above table that that satisfaction level of employees was the highest (56.5 per cent) among workman, and the lowest (7.9 per cent ) among supervisory staff of respondents, The medium level of level of satisfaction of employees was (35.6 per cent) among officer.

TABLE-8

## CADRE AND LEVEL OF SATISFACTION ANOVA TABLE

	SUM OF SQUARES	DF	MEAN SQUARE	F	SIG.
<u>CADRE:</u> BETWEEN GROUPS (COMBINED)	757.206	2	378.603	5.013	.007
WITH IN GROUPS	56415.461	747	75.523		
TOTAL	57172.667	749			

It is identified from the table that the p-value is more than 0.05; and the results are significant. Hence, the hypothesis “there is difference occupation of the respondents and satisfaction level of employees” disproved. This shows that there is difference between age and impact of satisfaction level of employees.

TABLE ---9

**RELIABILITY OF SCALES AND ITEM-CONSTRUCT LOADINGS- FACTORS  
RELATED TO LEVEL OF ACCEPTANCE WITH RESPECT TO CAUSES FOR THE  
STRESS OF EMPLOYEES**

S.NO.	ITEMS	SCALE MEAN IF ITEM DELETED	CRONBACH'S ALPHA IF ITEM DELETED
1	Inadequate salary	111.32	.785
2	Rigid rules and regulations	111.65	.789
3	Inhuman attitude	111.78	.786
4	Needless punishments	111.64	.785
5	No reward for hard work	111.37	.789
6	Lack of job security	111.51	.783
7	Too much responsibility	111.85	.788
8	Heavy workload	111.64	.785
9	Little scope for personal growth	111.99	.772
10	Lack of freedom to make decision	111.66	.769
11	Unsatisfactory working conditions	111.75	.771
12	Lack of clarity in job assignments	111.78	.777
13	Monotonous, repetitiveness and boredom nature of job	111.61	.778
14	Dogmatic nature of peers	112.34	.786
15	Poor relationship with subordinates and	111.61	.773



	superiors		
16	Lack of cooperation from work group	111.99	.772
17	Ignorance of employees' suggestions, opinions and contributions	111.66	.769
18	Favoritism and biasness	111.75	.771
19	Absence of mutual help among employees	111.78	.777
20	Impish system set by employees	111.61	.778
21	Lack of recognition from society	112.42	.792
22	Social obligations and restrictions	111.40	.789
23	Criticism and gossips	111.61	.773
24	Culture and sub-culture	111.99	.772
25	Poor relations with relatives	111.66	.769
26	Less revenue	111.75	.771
27	Conflict with family members	111.96	.790
28	Staying away from family	111.69	.788
29	Problems from children	111.91	.791
30	Illness of the family members	112.22	.793
	<b>MEAN</b>		<b>115.62</b>
	<b>VARIANCE</b>		<b>86.458</b>
	<b>STD. DEVIATION</b>		<b>9.298</b>
	<b>CRONBACH'S ALPHA BASED ON STANDARDIZED ITEMS</b>		<b>.783</b>
	<b>CRONBACH'S ALPHA</b>		<b>.786</b>
	<b>NO OF ITEMS</b>		<b>30</b>

The reliability of scales used in this study was calculated by Cronbach's coefficient alpha. Cronbach's alpha reliability coefficient normally ranges between 0 and 1. However, there is actually no lower limit to the coefficient. The closer Cronbach's alpha coefficient is to 1.0 the

greater the internal consistency of the items in the scale. Based upon the formula  $\alpha = rk / [1 + (k - 1) r]$  where  $k$  is the number of items considered and  $r$  is the mean of the inter-item correlations the size of alpha is determined by both the number of items in the scale and the mean inter-item correlations. The coefficient alpha values exceeded the minimum standard of .70. It's provided good estimates of internal consistency reliability. As shown in Table 1, coefficient alpha values ranged from .769 to .793 for all the constructs. All constructs obtained an acceptable level of a coefficient alpha above .70, indicating that the scales used in this study were reliable. It provides the following rules of thumb: “ $\alpha > .9$  – Excellent,  $\alpha > .8$  – Good,  $\alpha > .7$  – Acceptable,  $\alpha > .6$  – Questionable,  $\alpha > .5$  – Poor and  $\alpha < .5$  – Unacceptable”. While increasing the value of alpha is partially dependent upon the number of items in the scale, it should be noted that this has diminishing returns. It should also be noted that an alpha of .786 is probably a reasonable goal. It should also be noted that while a high value for Cronbach's alpha indicates good internal consistency of the items in the scale, it does not mean that the scale is unidimensional. Factor analysis is a method to determine the dimensionality of a scale.

TABLE ---10

**RELIABILITY OF SCALES AND ITEM-CONSTRUCT LOADINGS- FACTORS  
RELATED TO THE STRATEGIES TO COPE WITH STRESS OF EMPLOYEES**

S.NO	ITEMS	SCALE MEAN IF ITEM DELETED	CRONBACH'S ALPHA IF ITEM DELETED
1	Sharing problems with family members, friends and others	54.67	.823
2	Consulting with well-wishers	54.10	.811
3	Going to temple, park, shopping, and native place for relaxation	54.29	.806
4	Practicing of meditation to cope with stress	54.16	.793
5	Getting counseling from psychiatrist	54.33	.787

6	Playing with pet animals	54.29	.791
7	Walking to burnout stress	54.25	.795
8	Taking hydrotherapy to reduce stress	54.02	.793
9	Watching TV, hearing music, and indoor games	54.17	.809
10	Organizing wellness programmes like exercise, mediation, relaxation techniques to relieve employee from stress	54.11	.796
11	Management of job boredom by job rotation	54.49	.805
12	Management of job boredom by transfer	54.17	.799
13	Conduct of knowledge up gradation and training programmes	54.25	.804
14	Encouraging mutual consultation between individuals for purposes of redefining the job	54.29	.808
15	Arrangement for excursion tours, adventure trips and family picnic	54.12	.815
<b>MEAN</b>			<b>58.12</b>
<b>VARIANCE</b>			<b>43.705</b>
<b>STD. DEVIATION</b>			<b>6.611</b>
<b>CRONBACH'S ALPHA BASED ON STANDARDIZED ITEMS</b>			<b>.811</b>
<b>CRONBACH'S ALPHA</b>			<b>.813</b>
<b>NO OF ITEMS</b>			<b>15</b>

It clear that, all the fifteen measurement scale items are reliable as the Cronbach alpha coefficient of 0.958. It is greater than the threshold level of 0.70. It's provided good estimates of internal consistency reliability and also coefficient alpha values ranged from .791 to .815 for all the constructs. It is indicating that the scales used in this study were reliable. It clearly indicates that above scale items are consistent with each other and they are reliable measure of strategies to cope with stress of employees, so that it can be used for next analysis.

### **SUGGESTION:**

The researcher point out some suggestions to regulate and prevent the stress level of employees Textiles Industry.

- Understand and solve their problems through proper counseling and awareness programs.
- Give more importance for recreational activities.
- Conducting training programs, organizing workshops and discussions are essential to employees to get more acquaintance relating to the job.
- A counselor should be appointed in the organization, who can look after the grievances to employees and can deal with social, emotional and psychological problems.
- Practice relaxation techniques like yoga and meditation. May be arranged by the organization.

### **CONCLUSION:**

Science and technology are advancing day by day in the society. Today's society is accepting all these changes. These changes causes to different problems in the society. The researcher conducted a study on Stress and Stress Management among employees in Textiles industries. In this competitive field they are facing a lot of psychological, Health problem and the problems from the society also. The study came out with certain findings. Nearly half of the respondents had very relaxed stress level and most of the respondent had the stress management. Though the stress can be reduced through counseling, recreational activities and relaxation techniques. Most of the respondents did not have stress. This is a good result got from the respondents.

**REFERENCE:**

- Khaled A. Ben-Bakr, (1995). Occupational stress in different organizations: a Saudi Arabian survey. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 10 (5), 24 - 28.
- Khaleque A, Elias MS. (1995). Industrial pollution and quality of life of workers in Bangladesh. *Journal of Human Ergology*, (Tokyo), 4(1),13-23.
- Khodabakhsh Ahmadi, (2007). Stress and job satisfaction among air force military pilots. *Journal of Social Sciences*, 3(3), 159(5).
- Kim, Sangmook, (2005). Gender differences in the job satisfaction of public employees: a study of Seoul Metropolitan Government, Korea. *Sex Roles: A Journal of Research*, May 01.
- Kinzl, J. et al. (2005). Influence of working conditions on job satisfaction in anesthetists. *British Journal of Anesthesia*, 94(2), 211-215.
- Krishnamurthy Ravichandran, et al, (2009). Problems Faced by Knit Ware Unit Workers in Tiruppur District, Tamil Nadu – An Empirical Study.
- Kristin O. Prien, Sarah T. Pitts, Rob H. Kamery, (2004). Job satisfaction in older workers: the case of a garment manufacturing company in Northwest Arkansas. *Journal of Organizational Culture*, January issue.
- Kudieelka, B. M. et al., (2005). Health -Related Quality of Life Measures by the SF 12 in Working Populations: Association with Psychosocial Work Characteristics. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology* , 10, 429-440.